arXiv:math/0701870v1 [math.AP] 30 Jan 2007

DISCRIMINANT LOCI OF AMPLE AND SPANNED LINE BUNDLES

Antonio Lanteri and Roberto Muñoz

Abstract. Let (X, L, V) be a triplet where X is an irreducible smooth complex projective variety, L is an ample and spanned line bundle on X and $V \subseteq H^0(X, L)$ spans L. The discriminant locus $\mathcal{D}(X, V) \subset |V|$ is the algebraic subset of singular elements of $|V|$. We study the components of $\mathcal{D}(X, V)$ in connection with the jumping sets of (X, V), generalizing the classical biduality theorem. We also deal with the degree of the discriminant (codegree of (X, L, V)) giving some bounds on it and classifying curves and surfaces of codegree 2 and 3. We exclude the possibility for the codegree to be 1. Significant examples are provided.

Introduction

Let X be an irreducible smooth complex projective variety of dimension n. Take L an ample line bundle on X and a linear system $|V| \subseteq |H^0(X, L)|$ with $\dim(|V|) = N$ and V spanning L. We define the *discriminant locus* $\mathcal{D}(X, V)$ of the triplet (X, L, V) as the algebraic subset of $|V|$ parameterizing the singular elements of $|V|$. In the particular case in which ϕ_V is an embedding, from now on the *classical setting*, the discriminant locus is just the dual variety $\phi_V(X)^\vee \subset \mathbb{P}^{N\vee}$, an irreducible subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{N\vee}$. A nice survey on results on duality can be found in [T]. When ϕ_V is not an embedding some considerations on the morphism ϕ_V enter into the picture. In fact the main ingredients to build $\mathcal{D}(X, V)$ are the *jumping sets* (and their images by ϕ_V), measuring the deviation of ϕ_V from being an immersion, see [LPS1]. Inspired by the classical setting, different problems on the discriminant locus can be faced. In our previous paper on this subject [LM1] (see also in [LPS1]) we have focused on the dimension of the discriminant locus. By the Bertini theorem $\dim(\mathcal{D}(X, V)) < N$. Hence it can be written as $\dim(\mathcal{D}(X, V)) = N - 1 - k$, where $k \geq 0$ is called the *(discriminant) defect* of (X, L, V). Some bounds on k and classification results in the extremal cases (where k is maximal) are provided in [LM1]. These results deeply rely on the geometry of $\phi_V(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ since $\phi_V(X)^\vee \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X, V)$. We have also studied this problem dropping the hypothesis that L is ample in [LM2].

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C20, 14N05; secondary 14F05.
Key words and phrases. Complex projective variety; duality; defect; discriminant loci.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

When ϕ_V is an immersion $\phi_V(X)^\vee = \mathcal{D}(X,V)$. The locus where ϕ_V is not an immersion, consisting of the *jumping sets*, is important to study the discriminant locus in more general settings. In [LPS1], among other things, $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ is written as a union of algebraic subsets built with the *jumping sets* (see (0.3)). These sets are related with the Chern classes of the first jet bundle of L. This approach is continued in [LPS2] where a partial study of this decomposition of the discriminant locus (in the particular case in which ϕ_V is generically one–to–one) is given. Some considerations on the singular locus of a general $D \in \mathcal{D}(X,V)$ are also presented. In the current paper we follow this line of research started in [LPS1] and developed in [LPS2], [LM1], [LM2]. Our main goal is to find appropriate generalizations of theorems holding in the classical setting to the more general setting of an ample line bundle L spanned by V.

A main theorem in the classical setting is the so called *biduality theorem*. For $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ an irreducible complex projective variety, $X^{\vee\vee} = X$ via the canonical identification between $\mathbb{P}^N$ and $\mathbb{P}^{N\vee\vee}$. In Section 1 we present a natural generalization of this theorem. In fact we prove that any irreducible component of $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ is the dual of the image of a component of a jumping set, see (1.3). Moreover, the dual of any irreducible component of $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ is contained in $\phi_V(X)$ as proved in (1.4). These results help to understand the relation between the decomposition in (0.3) and the irreducible components of the discriminant. Significant examples are provided.

Another basic fact in the classical setting is the irreducibility of the dual variety of an irreducible complex projective variety. In the non-classical setting this is no longer true. But if ϕ_V is just an immersion, then $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ is still irreducible. In Section 2 we show that, for curves, the facts of ϕ_V being an immersion and the irreducibility of $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ are equivalent. This is not true in higher dimension. We can construct examples of surfaces for which the discriminant locus is irreducible and any possible configuration of the decomposition in (0.3) is achieved, ϕ_V not being, in particular, an immersion. The most relevant consequence of irreducibility of the discriminant locus is the emptiness of the biggest jumping set, presented in (2.7).

Last problem we are concerned with is that of the degree of the discriminant locus called, according to [Z1], *codegree of* (X,L,V) (denoted $\operatorname{codeg}(X,V)$). In the classical setting this invariant is the *class* of $\phi_V(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ when $\dim(\mathcal{D}(X,V)) = N-1$. In [LPS1] it is shown that the Chern classes of the first jet bundle are related with the singular locus of elements in general linear subsystems of appropriate dimension of $|V|$. Using this identification we get an expression of the top Chern class of the first jet bundle involving the degrees of the maximal dimensional components of the discriminant. This expression and some consequences of it lead to a complete classification of curves and surfaces of codegree less than or equal to three. Let us recall that in the classical setting a complete classification of smooth projective varieties of codegree ≤ 3 is provided in [Z1], [Z2, Thm. 5.2]. We prove that there are no triplets (X,L,V) with codegree one and establish the complete list of curves and surfaces of codegree two (see (4.4) and (6.11)) and three (see (4.4)

and (7.5)). All cases in the lists are effective and examples are provided.

The final section is devoted to three further possible developments of the theory. As a first thing we introduce the concept of *tame codegree* for triplets (X, L, V) for which the general element in $\mathcal{D}(X, V)$ is singular in just one point and the singularity is quadratic and ordinary. This occurs in the classical setting, but not only in this case. We classify (see (8.1.4)) surfaces of tame codegree less than or equal to eight. The second point is concerned with the study of the subvariety of the discriminant made of the reducible or non-reduced elements in $|V|$. The third one deals with two important facts holding in the classical case for positive defect varieties but not yet explored in the ample and spanned case: the parity theorem (the dimension and the defect have the same parity) and the linearity of the singular locus of a general element in the discriminant.

0. Background material

We work over the complex field and we use standard notation in algebraic geometry. In particular, if X is a projective manifold, K_X will denote the canonical bundle of X. We say that a line bundle on X is spanned by a vector space V of sections if V generates L at every point of X. By a little abuse of notation line bundles and divisors are used with little (or no) distinction. The symbol $\equiv$ denotes numerical equivalence. We use the word *scroll* along the paper in the classical sense, i.e., the projectivized of an ample vector bundle with the polarization given by the tautological line bundle. We fix our setting as follows.

(0.0) Let (X, L, V) be a triplet where: X is an irreducible smooth projective variety of dimension n, L is an ample and spanned line bundle on X and $V \subseteq H^0(X, L)$ spans L. Set $\dim(V) = N + 1$ and let $\phi_V : X \to \mathbb{P}^N$ be the morphism defined by V. In the particular case $V = H^0(X, L)$ we will write ϕ_L.

The *discriminant locus* $\mathcal{D}(X, V)$ of the triplet (X, L, V) parameterizes the singular elements of $|V|$. More precisely, taking the incidence correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} := \{(x, [s]) \in X \times |V| : j_1(s)(x) = 0\} & \xrightarrow{p_1} & X \\ \big\downarrow p_2 & & \\ \mathcal{D}(X, V) \subset \mathbb{P}^{N\vee}, & & \end{array} \tag{0.1}$$

where $j_1(s)$ denotes the first jet of the section $s \in V$, $\mathcal{D}(X, V)$ is the image of $\mathcal{Y}$ via the second projection of $X \times |V|$. Thus $\mathcal{D}(X, V)$ is an algebraic subset in $|V| = \mathbb{P}^{N\vee}$. By the Bertini Theorem $\dim(\mathcal{D}(X, V)) < N$. Hence we can write $\dim(\mathcal{D}(X, V)) = N - 1 - k$, where $k \geq 0$ is called the *defect* of (X, L, V). It is important to point out the following fact.

(0.2) We always look at the discriminant locus $\mathcal{D}(X, V) \subset |V|$ as an algebraic set with its reduced structure.

If $\phi_V(X) \neq \mathbb{P}^N$ then the dual variety $\phi_V(X)^\vee$ is a non-empty irreducible subvariety of $\mathcal{D}(X, V)$. Furthermore, if ϕ_V is an immersion then $\phi_V(X)^\vee = \mathcal{D}(X, V)$

[LPS1, Rmk. 2.3.3]. Anyway, points in $\mathcal{D}(X,V)\setminus\phi_V(X)^\vee$ are coming from points on X where the differential of ϕ_V is not injective. In this context it is natural to define the *jumping sets* $\mathcal{J}_i=\mathcal{J}_i(V)$ $(1\le i\le n)$ as in [LPS1, (1.1)], i.e., $\mathcal{J}_i=\{x\in X : \mathrm{rk}(d\phi_V(x))\le n-i\}$. As in [LPS2, (0.3.1)] X_i stands for $\mathcal{J}_i\setminus\mathcal{J}_{i+1}$, with the convention that $\mathcal{J}_0=X$ and $\mathcal{J}_{n+1}=\emptyset$. This allows to define $\mathcal{D}_i(X,V)\subseteq\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ as $\overline{p_2\circ p_1^{-1}(X_i)}$, that is, the Zariski closure in $\mathbb{P}^{N\vee}$ of the locus of elements of $|V|$ singular at points of X_i, so that:

$$\mathcal{D}(X,V)=\cup_{i=0}^n\mathcal{D}_i(X,V). \tag{0.3}$$

When no confusion arises we will write $\mathcal{D}$ (respectively $\mathcal{D}_i$) instead of $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ (respectively $\mathcal{D}_i(X,V)$). For further use we end this section with the following easy consequence of the second Bertini theorem [H, III Ex. 11.3, p. 280].

(0.4) Remark. *For (X,L,V) as in (0.0), if $\dim(X)\ge 2$ then any element of $|V|$ is connected. So if $D\in|V|$ is reducible then $D\in\mathcal{D}(X,V)$.*

1. On the components of the discriminant

Let us study some properties of $\mathcal{D}_i(X,V)$ $(0\le i\le n)$ and relate them with the geometry of $\phi_V(X)\subseteq\mathbb{P}^N$. A first basic fact is the following.

(1.1) $\mathcal{D}_0(X,V)$ is always irreducible because, if non-empty, it is the dual variety of $\phi_V(X)\subset\mathbb{P}^N$.

This in fact does not mean that $\mathcal{D}_0$ (when non-empty) is always an irreducible component of $\mathcal{D}$, as is shown, for example, in [LM1, Example 0.2]. Let us recall this example for further references.

(1.1.0) Example. Let S be a Del Pezzo surface with $K_S^2=1$ and let $L=-2K_S$. We know that L is ample and spanned and $\phi_L: S\to\Gamma\subset\mathbb{P}^3$ is a double cover of the quadric cone Γ, branched at the vertex v and along the smooth curve B cut out on Γ by a transverse cubic surface. We have $\mathcal{D}(S,L)=\mathcal{D}_0\cup\mathcal{D}_1\cup\mathcal{D}_2$, where $\mathcal{D}_0=\Gamma^\vee$ is a conic, the dual of Γ, $\mathcal{D}_1=B^\vee$ is the dual of B and $\mathcal{D}_2=v^\vee$ is a plane. Recalling that B is a sextic of genus 4 we thus get $\deg(\mathcal{D}_1)=2(\deg(B)+g(B)-1)=18$. Furthermore we can note that $\mathcal{D}_0\subset\mathcal{D}_1\cap\mathcal{D}_2$. Actually, $\Gamma^\vee\subset v^\vee$, since any plane tangent to Γ must contain v; moreover, $\Gamma^\vee\subset B^\vee$ since any plane tangent to Γ is tangent to it along a generator ℓ, hence it is also tangent to B at the points where ℓ meets B (note that they are three distinct points for the general ℓ). On the other hand, note that $B^\vee\cap v^\vee$ is a hyperplane section of $B^\vee$, so it has degree 18. Let ℓ_i be a generator of Γ tangent to B. The line parameterizing the pencil of planes through ℓ_i is contained in $B^\vee\cap v^\vee$. Actually any plane in such pencil cuts Γ along $\ell_i+\ell_i'$, where ℓ_i' is another generator. So, this plane is in $v^\vee$ (since containing ℓ_i it contains the vertex v); moreover it is in $B^\vee$ (since ℓ_i is a line tangent to B). Any such generator ℓ_i does correspond to a branch point of the morphism $p: B\to\gamma$, where $\gamma=\mathbb{P}^1$ is a directrix of Γ. Since p has degree 3 and B has genus 4, by Riemann–Hurwitz formula we get that this number is 12. All this shows that the

intersection $\mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_2$ is given (scheme theoretically) by $3\mathcal{D}_0$ plus 12 lines all tangent to $\mathcal{D}_0$.

Assertion (1.1) is not true for $\mathcal{D}_i(X, V)$ when $i > 0$, as shown by the following examples.

(1.1.1) Examples.

(a) Consider the canonical system of a smooth hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$. The discriminant locus consists of the union of $\mathcal{D}_0$ and $\mathcal{D}_1$, [LPS1, (1.8)]. In fact, $\mathcal{D}_0 = C^\vee$ is the dual variety of the corresponding rational normal curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$, $\mathcal{D}_1$ is reducible, being the union of $2g + 2$ linear spaces of dimension $g - 2$, and $\mathcal{D}_0 \setminus \mathcal{D}_1 \neq \emptyset \neq \mathcal{D}_1 \setminus \mathcal{D}_0$.

(b) Take $r > 0$ triplets as in (0.0), say $(X_1, L_1, V_1), \ldots, (X_r, L_r, V_r)$, with the corresponding morphisms $\phi_{V_i} : X_i \to \mathbb{P}^{N_i}$. Consider the product morphism:

$$X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_r \overset{\phi_{V_1} \times \cdots \times \phi_{V_r}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^{N_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{N_r}$$

and compose with the Segre embedding to obtain $F : X \to \mathbb{P}^N$. For the triplet $(X, L = F^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1), V = F^*H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)))$ it is straightforward to check the following fact: $(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathcal{J}_i(X, V)$ if and only if $x_j \in \mathcal{J}_{i_j}(X_j, V_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$ and $\Sigma_{j=1}^r(i_j) \geq i$. Let us comment some particular cases.

(b.1) Take $r = 2$, $X_1 = C$, a smooth curve of genus g and $|V_1|$ a base-point free pencil of degree d defining a d–to–1 map $\phi_{V_1} : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Choose $X_2 = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, $L_2 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1)$ and $V_2 = H^0(X_2, L_2)$. For the triplet $(X, F^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1), V = F^*H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)))$, $\mathcal{D}(X, V)$ is the union of $\mathcal{D}_0(X, V) = (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})^\vee$ (that is, $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n-1\vee}$) and $\mathcal{D}_1(X, V)$, which is the union of $s = 2g - 2 + 2d$ linear spaces of dimension $N - 1 - (n - 1) = 2n - 1 - n = n - 1$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}_0(X, V) = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$, $\mathcal{D}_1(X, V) = \cup_{i=1}^s \mathbb{P}_i^{n-1} \subset \mathcal{D}_0(X, V)$, and so $\mathcal{D}(X, V) = \mathcal{D}_0(X, V)$.

(b.2) Now take $r = 2$ and two triplets (C_1, L_1, V_1) and (C_2, L_2, V_2) where C_1 and C_2 are smooth curves and, for $i = 1, 2$, $|V_i|$ is a pencil of degree d_i. Consider the corresponding morphisms $\phi_{V_i} : C_i \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and their ramification loci $R_1 = \{c_1, \ldots, c_{s_1}\} \subset C_1$ and $R_2 = \{d_1, \ldots, d_{s_2}\} \subset C_2$. For the triplet $(X = C_1 \times C_2, F^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1), V = F^*H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)))$ we have: $\mathcal{D}_0(X, V) = (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)^\vee = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$; $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{(c, d) \in C_1 \times C_2 : c \in R_1 \text{ or } d \in R_2\}$ and $\mathcal{D}_1(X, V) \subset \mathcal{D}_0(X, V)$ is a union of lines; $\mathcal{J}_2 = R_1 \times R_2$ and $\mathcal{D}_2(X, V)$ is a union of planes. Note that $\mathcal{D}_2(X, V)$ is reducible and $\mathcal{D}(X, V) = \mathcal{D}_0(X, V) \cup \mathcal{D}_2(X, V)$.

(b.3) Let us recall here [LPS2, Example 4.2.4]. Consider $C' \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ an irreducible curve of degree ≥ 4 whose singular locus is just a cusp. Call $\nu : C \to C'$ the desingularization. Take $X_1 = C$, ϕ_{V_1} the composition of the desingularization with the inclusion $C' \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ and $(X_2, L_2) = (\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$. In this situation one can prove that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_0$ since $\mathcal{D}_1$ is a linear space of dimension three contained in $\mathcal{D}_0$.

(c) Take a surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ having only an even set of nodes as singularities. One can take the double cover $\pi : S \to \Sigma$, branched exactly at the nodes. Here, "even"

just means the following: consider the blowing–up $Y \to \Sigma$ at the nodes, let C_i be the (-2)-curve corresponding to the node p_i $(i = 1, \ldots, \mu)$, and let $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} C_i$. The set of nodes of Σ is even if $\Delta \in 2\mathrm{Pic}(Y)$. Under this condition, we can consider the smooth surface X, double cover of Y branched along Δ. Then the preimages on X of the C_i's are (-1)-curves, and by contracting them we finally get the smooth surface S and the required double cover. Now let $L := \pi^*\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(1)$ and $V = \pi^*W$, where $|W|$ is the trace of $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)|$ on Σ. Then for our (S, L), $\mathcal{J}_2$ consists of μ points (μ being the number of nodes of Σ). Moreover $\mathcal{J}_1 \setminus \mathcal{J}_2 = \emptyset$. So, $\mathcal{D}_2$ consists of μ hyperplanes, $\mathcal{D}_1$ is empty and, of course, $\mathcal{D}_0$ is the dual of Σ.

This example is effective. Let $S = \mathrm{J}C$ be the jacobian of a smooth curve C of genus 2 and call C again the image of the curve embedded in $\mathrm{J}C$ via the usual Abel–Jacobi map. Note that C is the theta divisor up to a translation, hence it is an ample divisor. Set $L := [2C]$. Then the ample line bundle L is also spanned, as Reider's theorem immediately shows; furthermore $L^2 = 8$ and $h^0(L) = \chi(L) = L^2/2 = 4$. Moreover, $\phi_L : S \to \mathbb{P}^3$ is a morphism of degree 2 onto the Kummer quartic surface Σ having 16 nodes as singular locus [GH, pp. 785–786]. This morphism of degree 2 has exactly these 16 points as branch locus, as can be checked by a local computation. Then for this triplet $(S, L, H^0(S, L))$, $\mathcal{J}_2$ consists of the preimages of these 16 points, while $\mathcal{J}_1 \setminus \mathcal{J}_2 = \emptyset$. Correspondingly, $\mathcal{D}_2$ consists of 16 planes in $\mathbb{P}^{3\vee} = |V|$, and $\mathcal{D}_1$ is empty. Note also that $\mathcal{D}_0 = (\Sigma)^\vee = \Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, [GH, p. 784].

The following propositions generalize the fact that $\phi_V(X)^\vee = \mathcal{D}_0(X, V)$. Concretely, any irreducible component of the discriminant locus is proved to be the dual variety of the image by ϕ_V of an irreducible component of a jumping set.

(1.2) Proposition. *Let (X, L, V) be a triplet as in* (0.0) *such that* $\dim(X_i) = n-i$ *and consider the irreducible components of maximal dimension of $\overline{X}_i$, that is, $Y_{ij} \subseteq \overline{X}_i$ $(1 \le j \le s_i)$ such that* $\dim(Y_{ij}) = n - i$. *Then* $\cup_{j=1}^{s_i} \phi_V(Y_{ij})^\vee \subseteq \mathcal{D}_i(X, V) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X, V)$.

Proof. Take a general point $y \in Y_{ij}$. Since $y \in \mathcal{J}_i \setminus \mathcal{J}_{i+1}$ then $\mathrm{rk}(d\phi_V(y)) = n - i$. Hence the kernel $K := \ker(d\phi_V(y))$ is a subspace of dimension i of the Zariski tangent space $T_{X,y}$. On the other hand $\dim(Y_{ij}) = n-i$. If $\dim(K \cap T_{Y_{ij},y}) > 0$ then $\phi_V|_{Y_{ij}}$ is not finite, a contradiction. As a consequence of the previous discussion one can choose local coordinates $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ around y such that: (i) $\partial s/\partial z_h = 0$ for $1 \le h \le i$ and for all $s \in V$; and (ii) $z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_n$ are local parameters for Y_{ij}. In this setting the vanishing of the derivatives with respect to $z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_n$ just means that the corresponding hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^N$ is tangent to $\phi_V(Y_{ij})$ at $\phi_V(y)$. $\square$

Let us note that it can be $\dim(X_i) < n-i$ (for example in special projections of smooth projective varieties). We can refer to [LPS2, Example 4.2.5] where a surface for which $\dim(X_1) = 0$ and $X_2 = \emptyset$ is provided. In fact it will be a consequence of the next proposition that for [LPS2, Example 4.2.5] $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_0$ and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_0$.

(1.3) Proposition. *Let (X, L, V) be a triplet as in* (0.0) *and $\mathcal{D}'$ an irreducible*

component of $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$. *Then there exists an index* i $(0 \leq i \leq n)$ *and an irreducible component* $Y_{ij} \subseteq \overline{X}_i$ *such that* $\dim(Y_{ij}) = n - i$ *and* $\mathcal{D}' = \phi_V(Y_{ij})^\vee$.

Proof. Consider the following incidence correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y}_{|\mathcal{D}'} := \{(x,[s]) \in X \times \mathcal{D}' : j_1(s)(x) = 0\} & \xrightarrow{p_1} & X \\ \Big\downarrow p_2 & & \\ \mathcal{D}' \subset \mathbb{P}^{N\vee}. & & \end{array}$$

Let $\dim(\mathcal{D}') = N - 1 - k'$. By [LPS2, Lemma (0.6)] the dimension of the generic fibre of p_2 is $k' = N - 1 - \dim(\mathcal{D}')$ and so $\dim(\mathcal{Y}_{|\mathcal{D}'}) = N - 1$. Take a $(N-1)$–dimensional irreducible component $\mathcal{Y}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{|\mathcal{D}'}$ such that $p_2(\mathcal{Y}^0) = \mathcal{D}'$. Let i be the maximum integer such that $p_1(\mathcal{Y}^0) \subseteq \overline{X}_i$, and consider a general $p \in p_1(\mathcal{Y}^0) \cap X_i$. As $p \in X_i$ it holds that $|V - 2p| \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X,V)$ is a linear space T_p of dimension $N - 1 - (n - i)$. Since $T_p \cap \mathcal{D}' \neq \emptyset$ then $T_p \subseteq \mathcal{D}'$. Whence the dimension of the general fibre of p_1 is $N-1-(n-i)$. In particular, $\dim(X_i) = n-i$ and there exists an irreducible component $Y_{ij} \subseteq \overline{X}_i$ such that $Y_{ij} = p_1(\mathcal{Y}^0)$. Just by definition of dual variety, $\phi_V(Y_{ij})^\vee = \mathcal{D}'$. $\square$

(1.3.1) With the same notation as in the proof of (1.3) we have maps $\mathcal{D}' \xleftarrow{p_2} \mathcal{Y}^0 \xrightarrow{p_1} X$ where $\mathcal{Y}^0$ is characterized by the following properties: irreducibility, $\dim(\mathcal{Y}^0) = N - 1$ and $p_2(\mathcal{Y}^0) = \mathcal{D}'$. Moreover, by classical biduality theorem, any other $(N-1)$–dimensional irreducible component $\mathcal{Y}^1 \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{|\mathcal{D}'}$ such that $p_2(\mathcal{Y}^1) = \mathcal{D}'$ verifies $\phi_V(p_1(\mathcal{Y}^1)) = \phi_V(Y_{ij})$.

As a consequence we have the following statement, analogous to the classical *biduality theorem*, offering in particular some control on the linear components of $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$.

(1.4) Biduality Theorem. *Let* (X,L,V) *be a triplet as in* (0.0). *Then* $(\mathcal{D}')^\vee \subseteq \phi_V(X)$ *for any irreducible component* $\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X,V)$.

Proof. From (1.3) and with the same notation as there it holds that $\mathcal{D}' = \phi_V(Y_{ij})^\vee$. Then our result is a consequence of the classical biduality theorem, that is, $(\mathcal{D}')^\vee = (\phi_V(Y_{ij})^\vee)^\vee = \phi_V(Y_{ij}) \subseteq \phi_V(\overline{X_i}) \subseteq \phi_V(X)$. $\square$

(1.4.1) Let (X,L,V) be a triplet as in (0.0). Suppose there exists a linear irreducible component $\mathbb{P}^{N-1-r} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X,V)$. By biduality $\phi_V(X)$ contains a linear space T of dimension r and by (1.3) there exists $Y \subset \mathcal{J}_{n-r}$ such that $T = \phi_V(Y)$. It is of particular interest the fact that if $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ contains a hyperplane, then $\mathcal{J}_n \neq \emptyset$. In fact, any hyperplane in $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ defines a point in $\mathcal{J}_n$. Note that the converse is obvious, because $|V - x| \subset \mathcal{D}(X,V)$ if $x \in \mathcal{J}_n$. So we have the following

(1.4.2) Corollary. *Let* (X,L,V) *be a triplet as in* (0.0). *Then* $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ *contains a hyperplane if and only if* $\mathcal{J}_n \neq \emptyset$.

(1.5) Lemma. *Let (X, L, V) be a triplet as in* (0.0) *and let* $\dim(\mathcal{D}(X,V)) = N - 1 - k$. *Then $\mathcal{J}_i = \emptyset$ for $i > n-k$. Moreover, if* $\dim(X_{n-k}) = k$ *then any maximal dimensional component of* $\overline{\phi_V(X_{n-k})}$ *is linear.*

Proof. Let us suppose there exists $i > n-k$ for which $X_i \neq \emptyset$. For any $p \in X_i$ we get $|V - 2p| = \mathbb{P}^{N-1-(n-i)} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X,V)$ a contradiction. The last assertion is just a consequence of (1.3). $\square$

Let (X, L, V) and (Y, M, W) be two triplets as in (0.0) such that $\dim(V) = \dim(W) = N+1$. In the classical case, that is, ϕ_V and ϕ_W embeddings, the *biduality theorem* states that if $\mathcal{D}(X,V) = \mathcal{D}(Y,W) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ (that is, there exists a linear transformation of $\mathbb{P}^N$ sending isomorphically $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ to $\mathcal{D}(Y,W)$) then $(X,L) = (Y,M)$. It is natural to ask to what extent this theorem is true when ϕ_V or ϕ_W are not embeddings. Next examples show that it cannot be true in the same terms and the right hypotheses to impose.

(1.6) Examples.

(a) Choose X_1 a smooth elliptic curve and L_1 giving a $g^1_2 = |V_1|$ on X_1. Take $(X_2, L_2, V_2) = (\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1), H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)))$. As in (b) of (1.1.1) we have (X, L, V) such that $\phi_V(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a smooth quadric and the branch locus of ϕ_V is made of four disjoint lines on $\phi_V(X)$. Hence $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ is a smooth quadric in $\mathbb{P}^3$. For $(Y = Q, L = \mathcal{O}_Q(1), V = H^0(Y,L))$ a smooth quadric with its corresponding embedding in $\mathbb{P}^3$ we have $\mathcal{D}(X,V) = \mathcal{D}(Y,W)$, $\phi_V(X) = \phi_W(Y) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ but X and Y are not isomorphic.

(b) Choose X_1 a smooth conic such that $(X_1, L_1, V_1 = H^0(X_1, L_1))$ defines the embedding $\phi_{V_1}(X_1) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. Take X_2 a double cover of the plane, $f : X_2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$, branched along $\phi_{V_1}(X_1)$, $L_2 = f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$, $V_2 = f^*H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$. Then $\phi_{V_1}(X_1) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a smooth conic and $\phi_{V_2}(X_2) = \mathbb{P}^2$. In fact $\phi_{V_1}(X_1)$ and $\phi_{V_2}(X_2)$ are not isomorphic but $\mathcal{D}(X_1, H^0(X_1, L_1)) = \mathcal{D}(X_2, V_2) = \phi_{V_1}(X_1)^\vee$ a smooth conic.

(c) Consider two smooth plane curves $C_1, C_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, not isomorphic. Let $f_1 : X_1 \to C_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ and $f_2 : X_2 \to \mathbb{P}^2 \times C_2$ be cyclic covers, both branched along $C_1 \times C_2$. Let F_i be the composition of the Segre embeding $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^8$ with f_i. Then we get triplets $(X_i, L_i = F_i^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^8}(1), V_i = F_i^*H^0(\mathbb{P}^8, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^8}(1)))$, $i = 1, 2$. Whence $\mathcal{D}(X_1, V_1) = \mathcal{D}_0 \cup \mathcal{D}_1$ where $\mathcal{D}_0 = (C_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)^\vee$ and $\mathcal{D}_1 = (C_1 \times C_2)^\vee$. We know $\dim(\mathcal{D}_0) = 6$ (since $\mathcal{D}_0$ is the dual of a three-dimensional scroll over a curve). We claim that $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_1$. In fact a general element of $\mathcal{D}_0$ corresponds to a hyperplane $H \in (C_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)^\vee$ which is tangent to $C_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a line contained in a fiber f. Since this line is meeting $f \cap (\mathbb{P}^2 \times C_2) = C_2$ then $H \in (C_1 \times C_2)^\vee$. Hence $\mathcal{D}(X_1, V_1) = (C_1 \times C_2)^\vee$. In the same way we see that $\mathcal{D}(X_2, V_2) = (C_1 \times C_2)^\vee$. Note however that $\phi_{V_1}(X_1) = C_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ is not isomorphic to $\phi_{V_2}(X_2) = \mathbb{P}^2 \times C_2$.

(1.7) Proposition. *Let (X, L, V) and (Y, M, W) be two triplets as in* (0.0) *such that* $\dim(X) = n = \dim(Y)$ *and* $\dim(V) = \dim(W) = N+1$. *If $\mathcal{D}_0(X,V)$ is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{D}(Y,W)$ then $\phi_V(X) = \phi_W(Y) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}_0(X,V) = \phi_V(X)^\vee$ is a component of $\mathcal{D}(Y,W)$ then, by (1.3), there exists $Y_{ij} \subset Y$ such that $\phi_V(X)^\vee = \phi_V(Y_{ij})^\vee$. By the classical biduality theorem $\phi_V(X) = \phi_V(Y_{ij})$. This gives $\dim(\phi_V(Y_{ij})) = \dim(Y)$ and so $Y_{ij} = Y$. □

2. Irreducibility of the discriminant locus

In this section we study some consequences of the irreducibility of the discriminant locus. A general fact is the following.

(2.1) If ϕ_V is an immersion then $\mathcal{D}(X,V) = \mathcal{D}_0$ and so it is irreducible, see (1.1).

The converse of (2.1) is also true for curves. We need the following lemma.

(2.2) Lemma. *Let (X,L,V) be as in* (0.0) *such that $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ is irreducible and $\mathcal{J}_n \neq \emptyset$, then:*

(2.2.1) *$\mathcal{J}_n$ is a finite set and $\phi_V(x) = \phi_V(y)$ for any $x, y \in \mathcal{J}_n$;*

(2.2.2) *$\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ is a hyperplane of $|V|$ and $\phi_V(X)$ is a cone whose vertex contains $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_n)$.*

Proof. By [LPS1, Theorem 1.2] $\dim(\mathcal{J}_n) = 0$. For any $x \in \mathcal{J}_n$ one has $\mathbb{P}^{N-1} = |V - x| \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X,V)$. Since $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ is irreducible then $|V - x| = |V - y|$ for any $x, y \in \mathcal{J}_n$ and (2.2.1) follows. Moreover $\mathcal{D}(X,V) = \mathcal{D}_n = |V - x|$ for any $x \in \mathcal{J}_n$. Since $\phi_V(X)^\vee = \mathcal{D}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X,V)$ then it is either empty or non-empty and degenerate (in the sense that it is contained in a hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}^N$). If empty then $\phi_V(X) = \mathbb{P}^N$ and $N = n$. So, $\phi_V(X) = \mathbb{P}^n$ is a linear cone. If non-empty and degenerate then $\phi_V(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a cone whose vertex contains $\phi_V(x)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{J}_n$. □

We will see in (3.5) that (2.2.2) cannot occur.

(2.3) Remark. For C a smooth irreducible curve, it is not possible to construct a finite morphism $\pi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree $d \geq 2$ with a single branch point $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Let us call m the number of distinct points in $\pi^{-1}(p)$. The claim is just a consequence of the Riemann–Hurwitz formula: $2g(C) - 2 = -2d + d - m$.

We can prove the following result for curves.

(2.4) Proposition. *Let (C,L,V) be as in* (0.0) *with* $\dim(C) = 1$. *Then $\mathcal{D}(C,V)$ is irreducible if and only if ϕ_V is an immersion.*

Proof. In view of (2.1) it is enough to prove the *only if* part. So let us assume $\mathcal{D}(C,V)$ to be irreducible. If $\mathcal{J}_1 \neq \emptyset$ then $\phi_V(C) = \mathbb{P}^1$ by (2.2.2). The contradiction comes from (2.2.1) because $\mathcal{J}_1 \neq \emptyset$ implies that one can construct a map as in (2.3). □

This statement is not true for higher dimension. In the examples (b.1) and (b.3) of (1.1.1) (see [LPS2, Example 4.2.4]) $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ is not only irreducible but

equal to $\phi_V(X)^\vee$ not being ϕ_V an immersion. Let us record a list of examples of surfaces with irreducible discriminant locus showing different behaviors of the $\mathcal{D}_i's$. Since scrolls are of particular interest, first consider the following result, that is important also for the next sections. We follow the usual notation of [Ha, V 2].

(2.5) Lemma. *Let (S, L, V) be a triplet as in* (0.0) *such that* $\dim(S) = 2$ *and (S, L) is a scroll over a smooth curve B. Let C_0 and f be a fundamental section and a fibre respectively. Then*

(2.5.1) *$L \equiv C_0 + bf$, with $b > 0$.*

(2.5.2) *$\mathcal{J}_2 = \emptyset$.*

(2.5.3) *If* $\dim(V) = 3$ *there is a surjection $i : B \to \mathcal{D}(S, V)$. In particular i is an isomorphism if $b = 1$.*

Proof. Write $S = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a vector bundle of rank 2 on B. We can assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is normalized in the sense of [Ha, p. 373] and that C_0 is the tautological section on S. Of course $L \equiv C_0 + bf$ for some integer b, since (S, L) is a scroll. Let $\pi : S \to B$ be the scroll projection. Since L is ample and the general element in $|L|$ is irreducible then $b \geq 0$ by [Ha, V Prop. 2.20 and 2.21]. It is not hard to see that $b = 0$ implies that L is not globally generated and this proves (2.5.1). Alternatively an argument based on the non emptiness of the discriminant locus can be given. Take $D \in \mathcal{D}(S, V)$ ($\mathcal{D}(S, V) \neq \emptyset$ by [LPS, Thm. 2.8]). Choose $x \in \mathrm{Sing}(D)$, and let $f_{\pi(x)} = \pi^*\mathcal{O}_B(\pi(x))$ be the fibre of S containing x. Then

$$D = f_{\pi(x)} + R \tag{2.5.4}$$

for some effective divisor $R \equiv C_0 + (b-1)f$ containing x. Otherwise $1 = Lf = Df_{\pi(x)} \geq \mathrm{mult}_x(D)\mathrm{mult}_x(f_{\pi(x)}) \geq 2$, a contradiction. Suppose that $b \leq 0$. This would mean that $0 < h^0(R) = h^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L})$ where $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle on B with $\deg(\mathcal{L}) < 0$, contradicting the assumption that $\mathcal{E}$ is normalized made at the beginning.

To prove (2.5.2), assume that $x \in \mathcal{J}_2$. Then $|V-x| = |V-2x|$. As we have seen before any $D \in |V-2x|$ is as in (2.5.4). Hence $|V-2x| = f_{\pi(x)} + |V - f_{\pi(x)} - x|$. Then $f_{\pi(x)}$ would be contained in the base locus of $|V-x|$. But $\mathrm{Bs}(|V-x|) = \phi_V^{-1}(\phi_V(x))$ must be a finite set since L is ample and spanned by V. This gives a contradiction.

Now assume that $\dim(V) = 3$, so that $\phi_V(S) = \mathbb{P}^2$. For every $p \in B$ let x, y be any two distinct points lying on the fibre $f_p = \pi^{-1}(p)$. So $|V - x - y|$ consists of a single element D_p, and $D_p = f_p + R_p$ for an effective R_p such that $R_p \equiv C_0 + (b-1)f$. In particular, D_p has a (exactly one) singular point on f_p. Then the mapping $i(p) = D_p$ defines a morphism $i : B \to \mathcal{D}(S, V)$. Now pick an element $D \in \mathcal{D}(S, V)$ and let x be a singular point of D. By (2.5.4) it holds that $D = i(\pi(x))$. Then i is surjective. If $b = 1$ then i is also injective. If $i(p) = i(q)$ with $p \neq q$, then $D_p = D_q$; in particular $D_q - f_p - f_q \equiv C_0 - f$ would be effective, a contradiction. $\square$

In the previous lemma we have shown that for any $N = \dim(|V|)$ we have the following maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} := \{(b, D) \in B \times \mathcal{D}(S, V) : \pi^{-1}(b) \subset D\} & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & B \\ \Big\downarrow \pi_2 & & \\ \mathcal{D}(S, V) \subset \mathbb{P}^{N\vee}, & & \end{array}$$

where any fibre of π_1 is a linear space of dimension $N-2$. If (2.5.3) holds, π_1 is an isomorphism since $N = 2$ and $i = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1^{-1}$.

We are going to show several examples of surfaces whose discriminant locus is irreducible. The list shows that any possible relation between $\mathcal{D}_0$, $\mathcal{D}_1$ and $\mathcal{D}$ can occur. In fact (2.4) is no longer true when the dimension is bigger than one. In the following examples $\mathcal{D}_2 = \emptyset$, being $\mathcal{D}$ irreducible. This is a general fact, as it will be proved later, see (2.7).

(2.6) Examples.

(a) Take $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ an irreducible non-degenerate smooth curve. As in [LM, Example 3.2] consider the conormal variety $\mathcal{X} = \{(c, H) : T_{C,c} \subset H\} \subset C \times C^\vee$ and the corresponding projections π_1 and π_2. The triplet

$$(\mathcal{X}, \pi_2^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3\vee}}(1), \pi_2^* H^0(\mathbb{P}^{3\vee}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3\vee}}(1)))$$

is as in (0.0). Recall that $\mathcal{X}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over C. A local computation shows that $\mathcal{J}_1$ consists of a section plus the fibres over the hyperflexes of C. In fact the section corresponds to $\overline{\{(c, \mathrm{Osc}_c^2(C)) :\ c \in U\}} \subset C \times C^\vee$, where $\mathrm{Osc}_c^2(C)$ stands for the second osculating projective linear space to C at $c \in C$ and $U \subset C$ is the open subset of points of C where the osculating plane is defined. One can check that $\mathcal{D}$ consists of the tangent developable TC of C plus the lines corresponding to the hyperflexes. Then $\mathcal{D}_0 = (C^\vee)^\vee = C \subset \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1$.

(b) Cyclic coverings of $\mathbb{P}^2$ give rise to: $\emptyset = \mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D} = B^\vee$ where B is the branch locus of the covering.

(c) In the example (b.3) of (1.1.1), see [LPS2, Example 4.2.4], we have $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_0 = \mathcal{D}$. If ϕ_V is an immersion then $\emptyset = \mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_0 = \mathcal{D}$.

(d) Let B be a smooth elliptic curve and $p \in B$. Consider the rank two vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ defined as the non-trivial extension: $0 \to \mathcal{O}_B \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{O}_B(p) \to 0$. Set $S = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$, $L \equiv C_0 + f$ and $V = H^0(S, L)$. One can check that $h^0(S, L) = 3$ and L is ample and spanned. By (2.5) there is an isomorphism between B and $\mathcal{D}(S, V)$. Then $\phi_V : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is a degree 3 map whose branch locus is the dual of the smooth plane cubic $\mathcal{D}(X, V)$. In this case $\emptyset = \mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D}$.

The remainder of this section is devoted to prove that irreducibility of the discriminant locus implies emptiness of the maximal jumping set. As (2.6) shows in the case of surfaces, this is in principle the only consequence of irreducibility of the discriminant locus one can expect in general.

(2.7) Lemma. *Let (X, L, V) be as in* (0.0)*. If $\mathcal{D}(X, V)$ is irreducible then $\mathcal{J}_n = \emptyset$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{J}_n \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{D}(X, V)$ is irreducible then $\mathcal{D}(X, V) = \mathbb{P}^{N-1} = |V - x|$ for any $x \in \mathcal{J}_n$. Consider a general $W \subseteq V$ such that $\dim(W) = n + 1$ and note that W spans L. Then $\mathcal{D}(X, W) = |W - x|$ and $\phi_W(X) = \mathbb{P}^n$. In particular there exists $p \in \phi_W(X)$ such that $p = \phi_W(x)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{J}_n$. Moreover, for this choice of W, $\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{J}_1(W)$ is a divisor on X and for any component $\mathcal{J}_1^i \subseteq \mathcal{J}_1$ we have $\phi_W(\mathcal{J}_1^i)^\vee \subset \mathcal{D}(X, W)$. That is, $\phi_W(\mathcal{J}_1^i)^\vee$ is contained in the hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}^{N\vee}$ of hyperplanes of $\mathbb{P}^N$ through p. Then

(2.7.1) $\phi_W(\mathcal{J}_1)$ is a union of cones with vertex containing p.

Choose a general line $T \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ through p. Since $T \cap \phi_W(\mathcal{J}_1) = \{p\}$ then, by Bertini type theorems, $\phi_W^{-1}(T)$ is a curve whose singular locus is contained in $\phi_W^{-1}(p)$. In fact, consider a general hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ and define $f : X \setminus \mathcal{J}_1 \to H \setminus \phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$ as $f(z) = \langle \phi_W(z), \phi_W(p) \rangle \cap H$. Hence $T \setminus \phi_W^{-1}(p)$ is smooth because it is a general fibre of f. Then, consider any component $\Gamma_i \subset \phi_W^{-1}(T)$ and let $\mu_i : \gamma_i \to \Gamma_i$ be its desingularization. The morphism $\phi_W \circ \mu : \gamma_i \to \mathbb{P}^1$ has only one branch point, so, by (2.3), it is an isomorphism. This says that Γ_i is a smooth rational curve such that $L\Gamma_i = 1$. This implies in particular that X is swept out by lines, that is, there exists a family $\mathcal{T}$ of smooth rational curves of L–degree 1, sweeping out X. Moreover, since $\phi_V^{-1}(p)$ is finite, there exists $x \in \phi_V^{-1}(p)$ such that $x \in \ell$ for ℓ general in $\mathcal{T}$. By [LPS2, Lemma 3.1] the normal bundle $N_{\ell/X}$ splits as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_{n-1})$ with $0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_{n-1}$. Let us suppose that $a_1 = \cdots = a_t = 0$, $a_{t+1} > 0$. Since $\phi_W(X) = \mathbb{P}^n$ and $x \in \ell$ for $\ell \in \mathcal{T}$ general we conclude that the irreducible component $\mathcal{T}_x$ through ℓ of the Hilbert scheme of rational curves of L–degree 1 on X through x has dimension greater than or equal to $n-1$. Since $h^1(\ell, N_{\ell/X}(-1)) = 0$ then $\mathcal{T}_x$ is smooth; moreover $h^0(\ell, N_{\ell/X}(-1)) = a_{t+1} + \cdots + a_{n-1} \geq n - 1$. Then $h^0(\ell, N_{\ell/X}) \geq n - 1 + n - 1 = 2n - 2$. This implies $(X, L) = (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$ by [LP2, Thm. 1.4], a contradiction. □

3. Codegree

The definition of *codegree* can be established as in the classical case, see [Z1].

(3.1) Definition. *For (X, L, V) as in* (0.0) *define its* codegree*, say* $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V)$*, as the degree of $\mathcal{D}(X, V) \subset \mathbb{P}^{N\vee}$.*

As said in (0.2), $\mathcal{D}(X, V)$ is an algebraic subset of $|V|$ with its reduced structure; hence $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) = \Sigma_{\dim(\mathcal{D}^i)=max} \deg(\mathcal{D}^i)$, the sum ranging over all irreducible components of maximal dimension.

As in the classical case we can relate the codegree of (X, L, V) with the Chern classes of the jet bundle $J_1(L)$. Suppose $\dim(\mathcal{D}(X, V)) = N - 1$ and consider the maximal dimensional components of its discriminant locus, say $\mathcal{D}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{D}^s \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X, V)$. Let $d_i = \deg(\mathcal{D}^i)$. For $D \in \mathcal{D}^i$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, with isolated singularities take $s \in V$ the section defining D and $x \in \operatorname{Sing}(D)$. Define the 0–cycle $z_x = \mu_x(D)x$,

where $\mu_x(D)$ is the Milnor number of x as an isolated singular point of D, and $z(D) = \Sigma_{x\in Sing(D)} z_x$. Then we can prove the following

(3.2) Theorem. *Let (X, L, V) be as in (0.0) with $\dim(\mathcal{D}) = N-1$ and consider a general pencil $|W| \subseteq |V|$. With the notation of the previous paragraph, let $|W| \cap \mathcal{D}^i = \{D^i_j\}$, $(j = 1, \ldots, d_i)$ and consider the 0–cycles $z(D^i_j)$. Then $c_n(J_1(L)) = \Sigma^s_{i=1}\Sigma^{d_i}_{j=1} z(D^i_j)$.*

Proof. From [LPS1, Cor. 2.6] we know that $c_n(J_1(L))$ is represented by $j_1(W)^{-1}(0)$. For any $D^i_j \in |W| \cap \mathcal{D}^i$ and for any $x \in \mathrm{Sing}(D^i_j)$ we can take $s \in V$ the section defining D^i_j and $t \in V$ not vanishing at x such that $W =< s, t >$. Now we can use the notation of [LPS2, Prop. 1.1], i.e., there exist local coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ at $x \in X$ such that $s = \Sigma^n_{j=1} a_{ij}x_ix_j$+h.o.t. (higher order terms) and $j_1(t) = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ since t does not vanish at x. Hence $j_1(t) \wedge j_1(s) = (\frac{\partial s}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial s}{\partial x_n}, 0, \ldots, 0)$. This shows that the zero sub-scheme of $j_1(W)^{-1}(0)$ supported at x is defined by the Jacobian ideal $(\frac{\partial s}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial s}{\partial x_n})$. Hence this 0–cycle has to be $z_x = \mu_x(D^i_j)x$, where $\mu_x(D^i_j)$ is the Milnor number of x as an isolated singular point of D^i_j. This gives the equality of 0–cycles of the statement. $\square$

From now on $c_n(J_1(L))$ will stand for the degree of the corresponding 0–cycle. With the previous notation take a general $D \in \mathcal{D}^l$ where $1 \leq l \leq s$. Let us describe more explicitly the singularities of D. By (1.3) (with the notation there) and the classical biduality theorem, D defines an element of $\phi_V(Y_{i_l j_l})^\vee$ whose contact locus is a single point $q \in \phi_V(Y_{i_l j_l})$. Then we claim that the singular locus of D is confined to $\phi^{-1}_V(q) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$, that is,

$$\mathrm{Sing}(D) \subseteq \phi^{-1}_V(q). \tag{3.2.1}$$

Since D corresponds to an element of $\phi_V(Y_{i_l j_l})^\vee$ there exists $x \in \phi^{-1}_V(q)$ such that $D \in |V - 2x|$. Consider $y \neq x$ such that $D \in |V - 2y|$. Since $D \in |V - 2y| \cap \mathcal{D}^l$, $|V - 2y| \subset \mathcal{D}^l$. By (1.3) $y \in X_j$, $j \geq i_l$. We have then an irreducible component $Y_{jk} \subset \overline{X}_j$ such that $\dim(Y_{jk}) = n - j$ and $\mathcal{D}^l = \phi_V(Y_{i_l k_l})^\vee = \phi_V(Y_{jk})^\vee$. If $j > i_l$, by the classical biduality theorem, we get the contradiction $\phi_V(Y_{i_l k_l}) = \phi_V(Y_{jk})$. Hence $y \in \overline{X}_{i_l}$ and $\dim(|V-2y|) = N-1-(n-i_l)$. If y is a smooth point of X_{i_l} then there exists $Y_{i_l k_l} \subset \overline{X}_{i_l}$ with $\dim(Y_{i_l k_l}) = n - i_l$ such that $\phi_V(Y_{i_l j_l})^\vee = \phi_V(Y_{i_l k_l})^\vee$. Then $\phi_V(Y_{i_l j_l}) = \phi_V(Y_{i_l k_l})$ by the the classical biduality theorem, as pointed out in (1.3.1). In particular $\phi_V(x) = \phi_V(y)$ because the contact locus of a general element on $\phi_V(Y_{i_l j_l})^\vee$ is just a point. This proves the claim unless y is a singular point of $\overline{X}_{i_l}$ and $\dim(|V-2y|) = N-1-(n-i_l)$. If this occurs, since $\dim(\mathrm{Sing}(\overline{X}_{i_l})) < n-i_l$, we get the contradiction $N-1 = \dim(\mathcal{D}^l) = N-1-(n-i_l)+\dim(\mathrm{Sing}(\overline{X}_{i_l})) < N-1$.

By the previous discussion there exists an index k, $1 \leq k \leq m$, such that, after reordering if necessary, $\mathrm{Sing}(D) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \subset X_{i_l} \cap \phi^{-1}_V(q)$ and $z(D) = \mu_{x_1}(D)x_1 + \cdots + \mu_{x_k}(D)x_k$. By semicontinuity the degree of this 0–cycle is constant at the general point of $\mathcal{D}^l$. Let $m_l = \deg(z(D))$ for $D \in \mathcal{D}^l$ general. Hence, by

(3.2) and recalling that $\operatorname{codeg}(X,V) = d_1 + \cdots + d_s$, we get

$$c_n(J_1(L)) = m_1 d_1 + \cdots + m_s d_s \geq \operatorname{codeg}(X,V). \tag{3.2.2}$$

In particular, if the discriminant locus has just one maximal dimensional irreducible component then there exists a positive integer m such that

$$c_n(J_1(L)) = m \operatorname{codeg}(X,V). \tag{3.2.3}$$

Classical results on Milnor numbers can be applied, for instance, see [DJP, Thm. 3.4.29, p. 122] :

(3.2.4) $z_x = x$ if and only if x is an isolated non–degenerate quadratic singularity of D_j^i.

In the classical case, see for example [BS, Rmk. 1.6.11, p. 33], when the dual variety is a hypersurface the general singular hyperplane section has only an isolated non–degenerate quadratic singularity, so that $c_n(J_1(L)) = \operatorname{codeg}(X,V)$, being $m = 1$ in (3.2.3).

Let us give a bound when the dual of the image of X by ϕ_V is the only maximal dimensional irreducible component of the discriminant locus.

(3.2.5) Lemma. *Let (X,L,V) be as in (0.0) with $\dim(\mathcal{D}) = N-1-k$. If $\phi_V(X)^\vee$ is the only $(N-1-k)$–dimensional irreducible component of $\mathcal{D}$ then $c_n(J_1(L)) \leq \operatorname{codeg}(X,V)\frac{L^n}{\deg(\phi_V(X))}$.*

Proof. If $k > 0$ then $c_n(J_1(L)) = 0$ and the assertion is obvious. If $k = 0$ then (3.2.4) and the fact that the general element of $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ has only isolated non–degenerate quadratic singularities lead to the inequality $m \leq \deg(\phi_V) = L^n/\deg(\phi_V(X))$ in (3.2.3) and the assertion follows. □

Let (X,L,V) be as in (0.0) with $\mathcal{J}_2 = \emptyset$ and $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$ not contained in the singular locus of $\phi_V(X)$. As in [BDL, Lemma 1 (3)], for general $y \in \phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$ and $x \in \phi_V^{-1}(y)$ we can choose local coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ on X centered at x and $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ on $\phi_V(X)$ centered at y to write $y_1 = x_1^k,\ y_2 = x_2,\ \ldots,\ y_n = x_n$, the branch locus locally being defined by $y_1 = 0$. Then, an element $D \in \mathcal{D}^1$ singular at x is defined by $s(x_1^k, \ldots, x_n)$ where $s(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ defines the corresponding hyperplane section through y. Recall that $y = (0, \ldots, 0)$ is a smooth point of $s(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = 0$ but $s(x_1^k, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ is singular at $x = (0, \ldots, 0)$. Hence $s(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = y_1$+h.o.t. In fact, $s(x_1^k, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = x_1^k$+h.o.t.

In particular, suppose $n = N = 2$ and $\mathcal{J}_2 = \emptyset$. Since $s(y_1, y_2) = 0$ is the tangent line to the branch locus at the (general) point $y = (0,0)$ then $s(y_1, y_2) = y_1 + \alpha y_2^2$+h.o.t., where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$. Hence:

$$s(x_1^k, x_2) = x_1^k + \alpha x_2^2 + \text{h.o.t. and so } \mu_x(D) = k - 1, \tag{3.2.6}$$

relating the index of ramification of ϕ_V at x and the Milnor number of the singularity x. Of course $k \leq \deg\phi_V \leq L^2$; then, specializing (3.2) in this particular setting, we obtain the bound:

$$c_2(J_1(L)) \leq \operatorname{codeg}(X,V)(L^2-1), \tag{3.2.7}$$

which is sharp as example (b) in (3.3) will show.

If $\dim(\mathcal{D}(X,V)) = N-1-k$, $k>0$, then to get an expression as in (3.2) we have to consider $z(D_j^i)$, the k–cycle corresponding to the singular locus of a general point $D_j^i \in \mathcal{D}^i$, $\dim(\mathcal{D}^i) = N-1-k$, counted with its appropriate number. Generalizations of the Milnor number are naturally considered, see [A]. Let us produce some examples when $k=0$.

(3.3) Examples.

(a) Consider the example (1.1.0): S is a a Del Pezzo surface with $K_S^2 = 1$ and $L = -2K_S$. We know that $\phi_L : S \to \Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a double cover of the quadric cone Γ, branched at the vertex v and along the smooth curve B cut out on Γ by a transverse cubic surface. As explained in (1.1.0) the maximal dimensional components of $\mathcal{D}(S,L)$ are $\mathcal{D}_1 = B^\vee$, of degree 18, and the plane $\mathcal{D}_2 = v^\vee$. Note that $\mathcal{D}_0 = \gamma^\vee$ is a conic, the dual of γ, contained in $\mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_2$. Hence $\operatorname{codeg}(S,L) = \deg(\mathcal{D}_1) + \deg(\mathcal{D}_2) = 19$. On the other hand, since S is obtained by blowing-up $\mathbb{P}^2$ at 8 points, the Euler–Poincaré characteristic of S is $e(S) = 11$. Moreover, $L^2 = 4$ and genus formula shows that $g(L) = 2$. Thus $c_2(J_1(L)) = 11+4+4 = 19$. Whence $c_2(J_1(L)) = \operatorname{codeg}(X,V)$ which implies that the general element in $\mathcal{D}_1$ as well as that in $\mathcal{D}_2$ has a single isolated non-degenerate quadratic singularity.

(b) Let $\pi : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be a cyclic cover of degree d branched along a smooth curve $\Delta \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(bd)|$. Let $L := \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. We have $K_S = \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(b(d-1)-3)$ by the ramification formula, and, for $i>0$ $h^i(\mathcal{O}_S) = h^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) + h^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-b)) + \cdots + h^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-b(d-1)))$ by the projection formula. Thus $q(S) = 0$, while $p_g(S) = \Sigma_{i=1}^{d-1}\binom{ib-1}{2}$. Therefore $12\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = b^2(d-1)(d)(2d-1) - 9bd(d-1) + 12d$. Since $K_S^2 = d(b(d-1)-3)^2$, Noether's formula and [BS, Lemma 1.6.4] give

$$c_2(J_1(L)) = 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - K_S^2 + 2K_SL + 3L^2 = (d-1)bd(bd-1).$$

Since $\operatorname{class}(\Delta) = \deg(\Delta^\vee) = bd(bd-1)$ we have $c_2(J_1(L)) = (d-1)\operatorname{codeg}(S,L)$ and therefore the general element of $\mathcal{D}$ has only a single isolated non-degenerate singularity whose Milnor number is $d-1$.

(c) Let (X,L,V) be as in (0.0) and suppose furthermore that L is very ample and $(X,L) \neq (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$. Let $h^0(L) = M+1$. Then $\mathcal{D}(X,L) = \phi_L(X)^\vee \subset \mathbb{P}^{M\vee}$. Suppose $\mathcal{D}(X,L)$ is a hypersurface. Then $c_n(J_1(L))$ represents its degree. Take V general and let $\dim(V) = N+1$. Note that $n \leq N \leq M$, the first inequality following from the fact that V spans L. Geometrically, $\phi_V(X)$ is the general projection of $\phi_L(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^M$ into $\mathbb{P}^N$ and in the dual projective space $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ can be regarded as a general linear section of $\mathcal{D}(X,L)$. In fact $\mathcal{D}(X,V) = \mathcal{D}(X,L) \cap$

$|V|$. Therefore $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ is an irreducible (reduced) hypersurface of $|V|$, since V was chosen general. Moreover it has the same degree as $\mathcal{D}(X,L)$, hence $\deg(\mathcal{D}(X,V)) = c_n(J_1(L))$, which exactly means that the general element of $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ has an isolated non-degenerate quadratic singularity. Moreover, if $n = N$ then $\mathcal{D}^0 = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ is birational to $\mathcal{J}_1$. The birational map is given by $p_2 \circ p_1^{-1}$ recalling (0.1).

(d) Let us consider some special projections of embedded projective varieties. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^n$, $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m)$ and $V =< x_0^m, \ldots, x_n^m >$. Then $V \subset H^0(X,L)$ spans L and $\phi_V : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ is a finite morphism of degree m^n. Take a general pencil $\{D_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{P}^1}$ in $|V|$. For every $t = (t_0 : t_1) \in \mathbb{P}^1$, the hypersurface D_t has equation $a_0(t)x_0^m + \cdots + a_n(t)x_n^m = 0$, where $a_i(t) = a_{i,0}t_0 + a_{i,1}t_1$ for $i = 0, \cdots, n$. Then D_t is singular at $(x_0 : \cdots : x_n)$ if and only if $a_0(t)x_0^{m-1} = \cdots = a_n(t)x_n^{m-1} = 0$. We thus see, the pencil being general, that there are exactly $n+1$ singular hypersurfaces, say $D_0, \ldots, D_n$, defined by t satisfying $a_i(t) = 0$ for $i = 0, \cdots, n$ respectively. Each of them is singular at one of the vertices of the homogeneous coordinate system having a point of multiplicity m there. E. g., D_0 has equation $a_1(\tau)x_1^m + \cdots + a_n(\tau)x_n^m = 0$, where τ satisfies $a_0(\tau) = 0$. In particular, this shows that $\operatorname{codeg}(X,V) = n+1$. Moreover, $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ consists of $n+1$ hyperplanes. To see this, let p be any of the points $(1:0:\cdots:0), \ldots, (0:0:\cdots:1)$. Then each hyperplane $|V-p|$ lies in $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ (and in fact $|V-p| = |V-mp|$ for each of them). Actually, let $a = (a_0 : \cdots : a_n)$; then the hypersurface D_a has equation: $a_0x_0^m + a_1x_1^m + \cdots + a_nx_n^m = 0$. Let $p = (1:0:\cdots:0)$. Then $D_a \in |V-p|$ if and only if $a_0x_0^m = 0$, i.e., if $a_0 = 0$. Thus $|V-p|$ consists exactly of the hypersurfaces of equation $a_1x_1^m + \cdots + a_nx_n^m = 0$, which have p as a singular point of multiplicity m. Let us prove also the following

(3.3.1) Proposition. *For* $(\mathbb{P}^n, L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m), < x_0^m, \cdots, x_n^m >)$, $c_n(J_1(L)) = (m-1)^n \operatorname{codeg}(X,V)$.

Proof. Let $p = (1:0:\cdots:0)$ and $D \in |V-p|$. Let $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ be local coordinates of X at p. Arguing as after (3.1) and noting that $j_1(s) = (s, ma_1y_1^{m-1}, \ldots, ma_ny_n^{m-1})$, where s defines D, we get $\mu_p(D) = (m-1)^n$. Since the same computation can be done for any point of the set $\{(1:0:\cdots:0), \ldots, (0:\cdots:1)\}$ we get the final assertion. □

The previous computation can be done in a different way. Let X be any projective manifold of dimension n, let L be a line bundle on X and consider the exact sequence: $0 \to \Omega^1_X \otimes L \to J_1(L) \to L \to 0$. We have (e. g., see [BS, Lemma 1.6.4]) $c_n(J_1(L)) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n+1-i}{n-i} c_i(\Omega^1_X)L^{n-i} = \sum_{i=0}^n (n+1-i)c_i(\Omega^1_X)L^{n-i}$. So, for $X = \mathbb{P}^n$, letting $h = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ and recalling that the total Chern class of Ω^1_X is $(1-h)^{n+1}$ mod h^{n+1}, we get $c_n(J_1(L)) = \sum_{i=0}^n (n+1-i)(-1)^i\binom{n+1}{i}h^iL^{n-i}$. An immediate check shows that $(n+1-i)\binom{n+1}{i} = (n+1)\binom{n}{i}$. Hence, for $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m)$, we get

$$c_n(J_1(L)) = (n+1)\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} m^{n-i}(-1)^i = (n+1)(m-1)^n. \tag{3.3.2}$$

This example is nice also from the point of view of the biduality theorem. Let $p_i = (0 : \cdots : 0 : 1 : 0 \cdots : 0)$ be the i^{th} vertex of the coordinate system, $i = 0, \ldots, n$. The first jumping set $\mathcal{J}_1$ is the union of the coordinate hyperplanes $x_i = 0$, and it is easy to realize that each of the further jumping sets is the union of all coordinate linear subspaces of the appropriate dimension; in particular, $\mathcal{J}_n$ consists of the $n+1$ points $p_0, \ldots, p_n$. Now, let $D \in \mathcal{D}(X, V)$ be defined by the section $s = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x_i^m \in V$ and suppose that the singular locus of D includes a point p, distinct from the p_i's. Then, up to reordering the coordinates, $p = (0 : \cdots : 0 : y_s : \cdots : y_n)$, with $y_j \neq 0$ for $j \geq s$, and

$$a_s = \cdots = a_n = 0. \tag{3.3.3}$$

Therefore D lies on the intersection of $n - s + 1$ of the $n + 1$ hyperplanes of $\mathbb{P}^{n\vee}$ constituting $\mathcal{D}(X, V)$. On the other hand, according to our choice, p lies on an irreducible component Y (a linear space) of the jumping set $\mathcal{J}_s$ (since it is required that s coordinates vanish). Note that here $\mathcal{J}_s$ is the same as X_s (the closure of $\mathcal{J}_s \setminus \mathcal{J}_{s+1}$, as defined in Section 0). Moreover, $\phi_V(Y)^\vee$ is the $\mathbb{P}^{s-1} \subset |V|$ defined by (3.3.3), which is contained in $\mathcal{D}_s(X, V) \subset \mathcal{D}(X, V)$. This discussion illustrates (1.2) very well. Moreover, letting $s = n$ we get a significant example also for (1.3): the index named i is n and the corresponding Y is simply the point p_n.

Let us now focus on low codegree triplets. By [LPS1, Th. 2.8] the only (X, L, V) as in (0.0) such that $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) = 0$, which means $\mathcal{D}(X, V) = \emptyset$, is $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1), H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)))$. It becomes then natural, as in the classical case, to face the problem of classifying low codegree triplets (X, L, V). Let us first show some examples.

(3.4) Examples.

(a) When considering smooth projective varieties $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$, the only codegree 1 varieties are (degenerate) linear spaces $\mathbb{P}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ with $n < N$, and the only codegree 2 varieties are quadrics. That is, there are no triplets (X, L, V) as in (0.0) with ϕ_V an embedding and $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) = 1$ and the only example with $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) = 2$ is $(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q(1), H^0(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q(1)))$ where $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a smooth quadric. If $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) = 3$ and ϕ_V is an embedding a complete classification can be found in [Z1], [Z2, IV.5].

(b) Take a cyclic covering $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$, branched along a smooth quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. For the triplet $(X, L = f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1), V = f^*H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)))$ the discriminant locus is a smooth quadric, $\mathcal{D}(X, V) = Q^\vee$. In fact, (X, L) is as in (a) above, but V is a codimension 1 general linear subspace of $H^0(X, L)$.

(c) For the example (b.1) of (1.1.1) $\mathcal{D}(X, V) = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$ and so $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) = n$.

(d) Consider now $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$. If $V = H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$ then $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}^2, V)$ is the dual variety of the Veronese surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^5$, that is the cubic symmetroid $S^\vee \subset \mathbb{P}^5$, hence the codegree is 3. In fact, taking coordinates $x_0, \ldots, x_5$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$, $S^\vee$ is defined by $rk(M) \leq 2$ being $M = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_3 & x_4 \\ x_3 & x_1 & x_5 \\ x_4 & x_5 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and its singular locus is a Veronese

surface defined by $rk(M) = 1$.

Let $V \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$ be a subspace such that $|V|$ is a base-point free web of conics and consider the morphism $\phi_V : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^3$. Since $\Sigma := \phi_V(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is non-degenerate, there are only two possibilities: either Σ is a quartic surface and ϕ_V is birational, or Σ is a quadric surface and ϕ_V has degree 2. Note that in the latter case Σ must be a quadric cone. Actually, $\mathbb{P}^2$ cannot be a branched double cover of a smooth quadric surface; otherwise, the ramification formula would imply that $9 = K^2_{\mathbb{P}^2} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. Here are examples of both cases:

(d.1) Let $(u : x : y)$ be homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and let $V_1 = \langle u^2 + x^2 + y^2, xy, uy, ux\rangle$ Then $|V_1|$ is a base-point free web of conics and $\phi_{V_1} : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^3$ is a birational morphism onto the roman Steiner quartic surface Σ of equation $y_1^2y_2^2 + y_1^2y_3^2 + y_2^2y_3^2 - y_0y_1y_2y_3 = 0$, where $(y_0 : y_1 : y_2 : y_3)$ are the corresponding homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Note that $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}^2, V_1)$ is the irreducible cubic surface defined by: $\lambda^3 - \lambda(\mu^2 + \nu^2 + \epsilon^2) + 2\mu\nu\epsilon = 0$, where $(\lambda : \mu : \nu : \epsilon)$ are the dual homogenous coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^{3\vee}$.

(d.2) Let $V_2 = \langle u^2, x^2, xy, y^2\rangle$. Then $|V_2|$ is a base-point free web of conics and the morphism $\phi_{V_2} : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^3$ is two–to–one onto the quadric cone Σ of equation $y_1y_3 - y_2^2 = 0$. Note that $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}^2, V_2)$ is defined by the equation $\lambda(\mu\epsilon - \nu^2) = 0$, hence it is reducible into a plane plus a quadric cone.

Now let us choose $V \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)))$ such that $|V|$ is a base-point free net of conics. By [W]:

(d.3) either $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}^2, V) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is irreducible and there exists a suitable choice of homogeneous coordinates x, y, z in the plane (suggested in [W]) so that

$$V = \langle 2xz + y^2, 2yz, -x^2 - 2gy^2 + cz^2 + 2gxz\rangle \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)), \tag{3.4.1}$$

where g, c are complex parameters, or

(d.4) $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}^2, V) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is reducible and for a suitable choice of homogeneous coordinates, either $V = \langle x^2, y^2, z^2\rangle$ or $V = \langle x^2, y^2, z^2 + 2xy\rangle$.

Then, the possibilities are the following:

(d.3.1) If $c \neq -9g^2$ in (3.4.1) then $\phi_V : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is a degree four map branched along a sextic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ with 9 cusps (and no other singularities). Hence $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}^2, V) = \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{P}^2, V) = C^\vee \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a smooth plane cubic.

(d.3.2) If $c = -9g^2 \neq 0$ in (3.4.1) then $\phi_V : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is a degree four map branched along a quartic curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ with three cusps (and no other singularities). Hence $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}^2, V) = \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{P}^2, V) = C^\vee$ is a nodal plane cubic.

Note that for $c = g = 0$ $|V|$ is not base point free, $\mathrm{Bs}|V| = \{(0 : 0 : 1)\}$.

(d.4.1) If $V = \langle x^2, y^2, z^2 + 2xy\rangle$ then ϕ_V is a degree four map branched along the union of a smooth conic Q and two lines tangent to Q. Hence $\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{P}^2, V) = Q^\vee$, $\mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{P}^2, V) = \ell$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}^2, V) = \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{P}^2, V) \cup \mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{P}^2, V)$ where $Q^\vee$ is a smooth conic and ℓ is a line transverse to $Q^\vee$.

(d.4.2) If $V = \langle x^2, y^2, z^2\rangle$ then $\phi_V : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is a degree four map branched along three general lines. Hence $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}^2, V) = \ell_1 \cup \ell_2 \cup \ell_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, being ℓ_1, ℓ_2 and ℓ_3 the dual trilateral to the branch locus. Hence $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}^2, V) = \mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{P}^2, V) = \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3\}$ and $\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{P}^2, V) = \{(\ell_1 \cap \ell_2), (\ell_2 \cap \ell_3), (\ell_1 \cap \ell_3)\}$.

A corollary of the study of nets of conics according to [W] is the following. For a suitable 3-dimensional vector subspace $V \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$ it may happen that the corresponding plane section of the cubic symmetroid either is a cuspidal curve, or contains a double line, or is the union of a smooth conic and one of its tangent lines. In all these cases, however, $|V|$ is not base-point free.

We can exclude the possibility for the codegree to be one.

(3.5) Theorem. *Let (X, L, V) be as in (0.0). Then $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) \geq 2$ except for $(X, L, V) = (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1), H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)))$ (for which $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) = 0$).*

Proof. By assumption $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) = 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{D}(X, V) = \emptyset$, which implies $\dim(\mathcal{D}(X, V)) = -1$. We conclude by [LPS, Thm. 2.8]. Let $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) = 1$ then $\mathcal{D}(X, V) = \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{D}'$ where $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{P}^{N-1-k}$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ is the union of the irreducible components of the discriminant locus of dimension $< N - 1 - k$. By (1.4.1) there exists $Y \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{n-k}(X, V)$ such that $\phi_V(Y) = \mathbb{P}^k$, $\mathcal{T} = \phi_V(Y)^\vee$ and $\mathcal{J}_{n-k+i} = \emptyset$ for $i > 0$. If $\mathcal{D}' \neq \emptyset$ consider $\mathcal{D}^0$ the union of the maximal dimensional components of $\mathcal{D}'$. By (1.3) $\mathcal{D}^0 = \phi_V(Y_1)^\vee \cup \cdots \cup \phi_V(Y_s)^\vee$. Suppose $\dim(\mathcal{D}^0) = N - 1 - k_0$. Take general sections $s_1, \ldots, s_{k_0+1} \in V$ and let $M = |\langle s_1, \ldots, s_{k_0+1}\rangle| \subseteq |V|$. Since $\dim(M \cap \mathcal{D}^0) = 0$ the classical biduality theorem implies that the image by ϕ_V of the singular locus of any element corresponding to a point $p \in M \cap \mathcal{D}^0$ is a linear space of dimension k_0, say $\mathbb{P}^{k_0}_p$. Then, by [LPS1, Thm. 2.4], $\phi_V((j_1(s_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge j_1(s_{k_0+1}))^{-1}(0))$ is a finite union of linear spaces of dimension k_0. By [LPS1, 2.3.2] $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_{n-k_0})$ is the intersection of these linear spaces. Since $\phi_V(Y) = \mathbb{P}^k \subseteq \phi_V(\mathcal{J}_{n-k}) \subseteq \phi_V(\mathcal{J}_{n-k_0})$ it follows that all the contact loci of $\phi_V(Y_i)$ $(1 \leq i \leq s)$ are meeting along $\phi_V(Y)$. This in particular means that the intersection of all the projective tangent spaces to $\phi_V(Y_i) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is not empty. So, $\phi_V(Y_i)$ is a cone [R, Prop. 1.2.6] whose vertex contains $\phi_V(Y)$, contradicting the non-emptyness of $\mathcal{D}'$. Hence $\mathcal{D}' = \emptyset$ so that $\mathcal{D}$ is irreducible. This implies $\phi_V(X)$ is a cone whose vertex contains $\phi_V(Y)$ and $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$ is a union of cones with vertex containing $\phi_V(Y)$ as in (2.7.1). In this situation the general line in $\mathbb{P}^n$ only meets $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$ at one point. This leads to a contradiction as in the proof of (2.7). □

4 Low codegree curves

In this section we classify curves of codegree less than or equal to three.

(4.1) Remark. *For (X, L, V) as in (0.0) $\phi_V(X)^\vee$ cannot be a cone.*

In fact, if $\phi_V(X)^\vee$ is a cone, then $\phi_V(X)^{\vee\vee} = \phi_V(X)$ is degenerate, contradicting the assumptions of (0.0). We collect two basic facts on curves in the following remark. Proofs are straightforward.

(4.2) Remark. *Let* (C, L, V) *be as in* (0.0) *with* $\dim(C) = 1$. *Then:*

(4.2.1) $\phi_V(C)^\vee$ *is either empty or a hypersurface of* $\mathbb{P}^N$ *of degree* ≥ 2,

(4.2.2) $\mathcal{D}(C, V)$ *contains* $|\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)|$ *hyperplanes.*

If $\operatorname{codeg}(C, V) = 1$ then $\phi_V(C) = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $|\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)| = 1$ by (4.2), contradicting (2.3). This gives a different proof of (3.5) in the case of curves.

If $\operatorname{codeg}(C, V) = 2$ then either $\phi_V(C)^\vee$ is a quadric and $\mathcal{J}_1 = \emptyset$, or $\phi_V(C) = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1) = \{p_1, p_2\}$. If the former holds then $\phi_V(C)^\vee$ is smooth by (4.1), and so, by biduality, $\phi_V(C)$ is a smooth conic. Since $\mathcal{J}_1 = \emptyset$ then $(C, L, V) = (\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2), H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)))$. If the latter holds then, arguing as in (2.3), from the Riemann–Hurwitz formula we obtain $2g(C) - 2 = -m_1 - m_2$ being $m_1 = |\phi_V^{-1}(p_1)|$ (respectively $m_2 = |\phi_V^{-1}(p_2)|$). Then $g(C) = 0$ and $m_1 = m_2 = 1$. In fact, there exists an integer $r > 1$ such that $C = \mathbb{P}^1$, $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r)$ and V has to be chosen in the following way: since the complete linear system embeds $\mathbb{P}^1$ in $\mathbb{P}^r$ as a rational normal curve, we have to project it from $T = \mathbb{P}^{r-2}$ in such a way that $|\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)| = 2$. Then T is the intersection of two linear spaces of dimension $r - 1$ that are $(r-1)$-osculating to the rational normal curve. This concludes the codegree 2 case.

If $\operatorname{codeg}(C, V) = 3$ then either

(4.3.1) $\phi_V(C)^\vee$ is a cubic and $\mathcal{J}_1 = \emptyset$, that is, ϕ_V is an immersion, or

(4.3.2) $\phi_V(C)^\vee$ is a conic and exists $p \in \phi_V(C)$ such that $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1) = p$, or

(4.3.3) $\phi_V(C) = \mathbb{P}^1$ and there exist three distinct points $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \phi_V(C)$ such that $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1) = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$.

If (4.3.1) holds, ϕ_V is an immersion and then $\mathcal{D}(X, V) = \phi_V(C)^\vee$. By (3.2.5) $c_1(J_1(L)) \leq 3\deg(\phi_V)$. Since $\phi_V(C)^\vee$ is a cubic, $\deg(\phi_V(C)) \geq 3$. The previous bounds and the fact that $c_1(J_1(L)) = 2g(C) - 2 + 2\deg(L) = 2g(C) - 2 + 2\deg(\phi_V)\deg(\phi_V(C))$ leads to a contradiction. Hence this case does not occur. If (4.3.2) holds then $2g(C) - 2 = -d - m$, where $m = |\phi_V^{-1}(p)|$, by the Riemann–Hurwitz formula. This gives $g(C) = 0$ and $d = m = 1$, a contradiction. If (4.3.3) holds then, just as before, Riemann–Hurwitz formula says $2g(C) - 2 = d - (m_1 - m_2 - m_3)$ where $m_i = |\phi_V^{-1}(p_i)|$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Whence:

(4.4) Theorem. *Let* (X, L, V) *as in* (0.0) *with* $\dim(X) = 1$ *and* $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) \leq 3$. *Then, either*

(4.4.1) $(X, L, V) = (\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2), H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)))$, $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) = 2$, *or*

(4.4.2) $(X, L, V) = (\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r), V)$ *with* $r \geq 2$ *and* $V \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r))$ *is such that* ϕ_V *is the projection of* $\phi_L(\mathbb{P}^1) \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ *from the intersection of two* $(r-1)$*–dimensional linear spaces of* $\mathbb{P}^r$ *that are* $(r-1)$*–osculating to* $\phi_L(\mathbb{P}^1)$; $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) = 2$, *or*

(4.4.3) $\phi_V(X) = \mathbb{P}^1$, $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1) = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ *and* $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) = 3$.

(4.5) Examples (showing that the list of (4.4) is effective).

(a) Consider a degree r rational normal curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ and for every $k \leq r-1$ let $\mathrm{Osc}^k_c(C)$ be the k-th osculating space to C at p. Take two general points p_1, $p_2 \in C$ and consider $M = \mathrm{Osc}^{r-1}_{p_1}(C) \cap \mathrm{Osc}^{r-2}_{p_2}(C) = \mathbb{P}^{r-3}$. Let T be a general $\mathbb{P}^{r-2}$ in $\mathrm{Osc}^{r-1}_{p_1}(C)$ containing M. Then the projection from T ramifies in p_1 with ramification index r and in p_2 with ramification index $r-1$. Since $-2 = -2r + (r-1+r-2+1)$ then the projection from T ramifies in a third point p_3 with ramification index 2 and we are in case (4.4.3).

(b) With the notation of (4.3.3) let us construct an example with $g(C) = 1$, $d = 3$ and $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = 1$. Take the projection of a smooth plane cubic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ from a point $x \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$ onto $\mathbb{P}^1$. In order to have codegree three we have to choose x in the intersection of three tangent lines to C at flexes of C. For example consider the cubic defined by the equation $x_0^3 - x_1x_2^2 + x_1^2x_2 = 0$ and project from $(1:0:0)$ which is on the intersection of the tangent lines to the cubic at the three flexes $(0:0:1)$, $(0:1:0)$ and $(0:1:1)$.

5 Low codegree surfaces: general facts

Consider now a triplet (S, L, V) as in (0.0), S being a surface. Recall that $\dim(\mathcal{D}(S,V)) = N-1$, see [LPS1, Thm. 2.8]. Suppose that $\phi_V(S)^\vee$ is the only $(N-1)$–dimensional irreducible component of $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$. If $\mathrm{codeg}(S,V) < \deg(\phi_V(S))$ then (3.2.5) combined with [LPS1, Prop. A.1] gives the bound $L^2 - 1 \leq c_2(J_1(L)) \leq \mathrm{codeg}(S,V)\frac{L^2}{\deg(\phi_V(S))} < L^2$. It follows that the first inequality has to be an equality, and so [LPS1, Prop. A.1] implies that $(S,L) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$. In particular, (S,V) is one of the pairs discussed in (d) of (3.4). It turns out that, apart from this case, the inequality $\mathrm{codeg}(S,V) < \deg(\phi_V(S))$ cannot be true. Moreover, if equality holds then (S,L) is a scroll by [LPS1, Prop. A1]. So this proves the following

(5.1) Corollary. *Let (S,L,V) be as in* (0.0)*, where* $\dim(S) = 2$*. If $\phi_V(S)^\vee$ is the only $(N-1)$–dimensional irreducible component of $\mathcal{D}(X,V)$ then, either*

(5.1.1) $(S,L) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$*, or*

(5.1.2) $\mathrm{codeg}(S,V) \geq \deg(\phi_V(S))$.

Moreover if equality holds in (5.1.2) *and we are not in* (5.1.1) *then (S,L) is a scroll.*

We can regard (5.1) as a natural extension of classical results of Marchionna [M] and Gallarati [G] to the ample and spanned setting. Let us recall here that for a triplet (S,L,V) as in (0.0) with $\dim(S) = 2$, L very ample and ϕ_V an embedding, it is usual to use the term *class* to refer to $\mathrm{codeg}(S,V)$. Marchionna proved that the class of a surface is greater than or equal to its degree minus one and equality holds when $(S,L) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(t))$, $t = 1, 2$, see [M]. Moreover Gallarati showed that the class is equal to the degree if and only if (S,L) is a scroll, see [G]. The example (d) of (2.6) is interesting in connection with (5.1). In fact, for the elliptic scroll of invariant $e = -1$ when considering $L = C_0 + f$, $V = H^0(S,L)$ we obtain $\mathrm{codeg}(S,V) = 3$ and $\phi_V(S) = \mathbb{P}^2$. On the other hand, when considering $L = C_0 + 2f$, $V = H^0(S,L)$

then ϕ_V is an embedding and $\phi_V(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is the quintic elliptic scroll. Whence $\operatorname{codeg}(S,V) = 5$.

As said in the introduction, the geometry of $\phi_V(S) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is an important tool in the study of $\mathcal{D}(S,V)$. In particular $\phi_V(S)^\vee \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X,L)$ is a relevant part of the discriminant. Let us comment some consequences of $\phi_V(S)^\vee$ to be small. We will recall the following definition and notation:

Definition. *Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^M$ a projective variety. The* tangent developable to Y *is denoted by TY an is defined as the closure in $\mathbb{P}^M$ of the union of the embedded projective tangent spaces to Y at its smooth points.*

(5.2) Proposition. *Let (S,L,V) be a triplet as in* (0.0) *with* $\dim(S) = 2$ *and* $\dim(\phi_V(S)^\vee) < N-1$. *Then:*

(5.2.1) *either $\phi_V(S) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a cone, or*

(5.2.2) *there exists a curve $C \subset \mathcal{J}_1$ such that* $\deg(\phi_V(S)) \leq \deg(\phi_V(C)^\vee) \leq \operatorname{codeg}(S,V)$ *and $\phi_V(S) = T\phi_V(C)$.*

In particular if (5.2.1) *does not hold then* $\operatorname{codeg}(S,V) > 3$.

Proof. Suppose $\phi_V(S) \neq \mathbb{P}^2$, if not we are in case (5.2.1). Since the dual of $\phi_V(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is not a hypersurface then the general tangent hyperplane is tangent to $\phi_V(S)$ along a line. In particular $\phi_V(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is swept out by lines. Since $\phi_V(S) \neq \mathbb{P}^2$ there is a finite number of lines through the general point of $\phi_V(S)$. Consider $x \in \phi_V(S)$ general. The general tangent hyperplane H to $\phi_V(S)$ at x is tangent along a line ℓ_H through x. Since there is a finite set of lines on $\phi_V(S)$ through x it holds that $\ell_H = \ell$ for the general H containing $T_{\phi_V(S),x}$. This says that $T_{\phi_V(S),x} = T_{\phi_V(S),y}$ for a general $y \in \ell$. In particular $\phi_V(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a developable surface. Then, by [FP, Thm. 2.2.8], either $\phi_V(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a cone, and so (5.2.1) holds, or it is the tangent developable to a curve $E \subset \phi_V(S)$. Suppose $\phi_V(S) = TE$. Then the general line in $\phi_V(S)$ is the tangent line to E at a smooth point $e \in E$, say $T_{E,e}$. The general hyperplane H containing this line cuts out $\phi_V(S)$ along a reducible curve by degree reasons, and so its corresponding element $D \in |V|$ is reducible, hence singular by (0.4). This implies $E^\vee \subset \mathcal{D}(S,V)$. In particular, it is a non-linear $(N-1)$–dimensional irreducible component of $\mathcal{D}(S,V)$ and we conclude the existence of a curve $C \subset \mathcal{J}_1$ by (1.3).

Consider now a general line R contained in $|V|$ corresponding to the hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^N$ containing a fixed $T = \mathbb{P}^{N-2}$. Since $E^\vee$ is an irreducible component of the discriminant then there exist points $e_1, \ldots, e_r \in E$, $r = \deg(E^\vee) \leq \operatorname{codeg}(S,V)$, such that $\dim(\langle T_{E,e_i}, T\rangle) = N-1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. This is equivalent to saying that $T \cap T_{E,e_i} = x_i \neq \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. In particular $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\} \subseteq T \cap \phi_V(S)$. We claim that this is an equality. Indeed, if there exists $x \in (T \cap \phi_V(S)) \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ it holds that there exists an analytic arc $\{e(t)\} \subset E$ such that $x \in \ell \subset \phi_V(S)$, being ℓ the limit of the tangent lines $T_{E,e(t)}$. Let us remark that if $\ell = T_{E,e_i}$ for some i and $x \in \ell = T_{E,e_i} \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ then $|\ell \cap T| \geq 2$ and so $\ell \subset T \cap \phi_V(S)$, contradicting the general choice of T. Then $\ell \cap T = \{x\} \neq \emptyset$ and the set of hyperplanes containing ℓ

is contained in $E^\vee$, a contradiction with $\deg(E^\vee) = r$. Since developable quadrics and cubics have to be cones, see [E, pp. 32–33], then $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) > 3$. $\square$

For low codegree we can study the possibility for any maximal dimensional component of the discriminant to be linear.

(5.3) Lemma. *Let (S, L, V) be as in (0.0) and $\dim(S) = 2$. If any maximal dimensional component of $\mathcal{D}$ is linear then $\phi_V(S) = \mathbb{P}^2$, $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$ is a union of at least three not collinear lines and $\phi_V(p)$ is contained in a line of $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$ for any $p \in \mathcal{J}_2$. Moreover $\operatorname{codeg}(S, V) \geq 3$ and if equality holds then $(S, L) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r))$.*

Proof. Since $\phi_V(S)^\vee \subseteq \mathcal{D}(S, V)$, (5.2) applies. Then either $\phi_V(S) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a cone or $\phi_V(S)$ is a developable surface (different from a cone). In the second case by (5.2.2) there exists a curve $C \subseteq \mathcal{J}_1$ such that $T\phi_V(C) = \phi_V(S)$, in particular $\phi_V(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is non-degenerate, and $\phi_V(C)^\vee$ is a component of $\mathcal{D}(S, V)$. This is a contradiction because the dual of any non-degenerate curve is a non-linear hypersurface. Hence $\phi_V(S) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a cone.

If $\phi_V(S) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a not linear cone then the vertex is a point, say v. If $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$ contains an irreducible curve of degree ≥ 2 then its dual is a nonlinear component of dimension $N - 1$ of $\mathcal{D}$, a contradiction. Then $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$ is a union of lines through v. This gives $(S, L) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ by exactly the same argument as after (2.7.1). In fact, the preimage of a general line $\ell \subset \phi_V(S)$ through v is a curve whose singular locus is contained in $\phi_V^{-1}(v)$. Then for the normalization γ of any of its irreducible components, ϕ_V defines a map from γ onto $\mathbb{P}^1$ branched only at v. By (2.3) any irreducible component of $\phi_V^{-1}(\ell)$ is then isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ via ϕ_V. Hence (S, L) is swept out by lines and we conclude by [LP2, Thm. 1.4]. Hence $\phi_V(S) = \mathbb{P}^2$.

Since $\phi_V(S) = \mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathcal{J}_1$ is a union of curves and so $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$ is a union of lines, being linear any maximal dimensional component of the discriminant. Moreover, since $\mathcal{J}_2 \subset \mathcal{J}_1$, any $p \in \mathcal{J}_2$ is contained in a curve of $\mathcal{J}_1$, hence $\phi_V(p)$ is contained in a line of $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$. By exactly the same argument of the previous paragraph, $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$ cannot be a set of lines through a point, then $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$ contains at least three non collinear lines ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3. Then we can write $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1) = \ell_1 \cup \cdots \cup \ell_s$, where $s \geq 3$ and ℓ_i is a line $(1 \leq i \leq s)$. Let R be the ramification divisor of ϕ_V, then $R \in |K_S + 3L|$. We can write $R = R_1 + \cdots + R_s$ where $\phi_V(R_i) = \ell_i$. Moreover, $R_i = \Sigma_{j=1}^{s_i} \alpha_{ij} R_{ij}$ where each R_{ij} is an irreducible curve and $\alpha_{ij} \geq 1$. Since ϕ_V ramifies along R then for $1 \leq i \leq s$ there exists a divisor $H_i = \phi_V^*(\ell_i) \in |V|$ and a divisor $E_i \geq 0$ such that $H_i = \Sigma_{j=1}^{s_j}(\alpha_{ij} + 1)R_{ij} + E_i$. We claim that

(5.3.1) for any $1 \leq i \leq s$ there exists $j \neq i$ such that $R_i R_j > 0$.

If (5.3.1) holds then for $x_{ij} \in R_i \cap R_j$ we get $|V - R_i|, |V - R_j| \subset |V - 2x_{ij}|$ and $|V - R_i| \neq |V - R_j|$. Whence $x_{ij} \in \mathcal{J}_2$ and $\operatorname{codeg}(S, V) \geq 3$. Let us prove (5.3.1). We argue with R_1 and the same argument holds when $i \neq 1$. Suppose $R_1 R_j = 0$ for any $j \neq 1$. Since L is ample then $0 < LR_{11} = H_j R_{11}$ and so

(5.3.2) $$R_{11} E_j > 0 \text{ for any } j \neq 1.$$

This in particular implies $E_i > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Moreover, since H_1 is ample, its support is connected and then

$$R_{11}(R_{12} + \cdots + R_{1s_1} + E_1) > 0. \tag{5.3.3}$$

Now we have:

$$-(K_S + R_{11})R_{11} = -(R_{11} + R - 3L)R_{11} = -(R_{11} + R - H_1 - H_2 - H_3)R_{11} =$$
$$= -(R_{11} + R_1 - H_1 + R_2 - H_2 + R_3 - H_3 + \Sigma_{j\geq 4}R_j)R_{11} =$$
$$= (R_{12} + \cdots + R_{1s_1} + E_1)R_{11} + (R_{21} + \cdots + R_{2s_2} + E_2)R_{11} +$$
$$+(R_{31} + \cdots + R_{3s_3} + E_3)R_{11}.$$

Note that the first summand in the final expression is ≥ 1 by (5.3.3) and the same inequality holds for the other two summands by (5.3.2). Thus, by adjunction formula we get:

$$-2 \leq 2g(R_{11}) - 2 = (K_S + R_{11})R_{11} \leq -3,$$

a contradiction. This proves (5.3.1). Now suppose that $\mathrm{codeg}(S, V) = 3$ (i.e, $s = 3$ in the previous notation) and $E_1 > 0$. Let C_1 be an irreducible component of E_1. Recall that E_1 has no non-reduced components (otherwise they would be part of R). Then

$$-(K_S + C_1)C_1 = -(R - 3L + C_1)C_1 = (R_{11} + \cdots + R_{1s_1} + (E_1 - C_1))C_1 +$$
$$+(R_{21} + \ldots R_{2s_2} + E_2)C_1 + (R_{31} + \ldots R_{3s_3} + E_3)C_1 \geq 3,$$

each of the three summands being ≥ 1: the first one by the connectedness of H_1 and the remaining two by the the ampleness of L. By adjunction formula this gives a contradiction again. This shows that $E_1 = 0$ and the same argument gives $E_2 = E_3 = 0$. Hence

$$K_S = -(R_{11} + \cdots + R_{1s_1}) - (R_{21} + \cdots + R_{2s_2}) - (R_{31} + \cdots + R_{3s_3}).$$

We claim that $s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = 1$. We have: $-(K_S + R_{11})R_{11} = (R_{12} + \cdots + R_{1s_1})R_{11} + (R_{21} + \cdots + R_{2s_2})R_{11} + (R_{31} + \cdots + R_{3s_2})R_{11}$. If $s_1 > 1$ then by the connectedness of H_1 and the ampleness of L we have the contradiction $(K_S + R_{11})R_{11} \leq -3$. The same argument works with s_2 and s_3.

Since $s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = 1$, $H_i = (\alpha_{i1} + 1)R_{i1}$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then R_{i1} is an ample divisor for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Moreover $K_S = -R_{11} - R_{21} - R_{31}$ and so S is a Del Pezzo surface with $-K_S$ being the sum of three ample divisors. Hence $S = \mathbb{P}^2$, $R_{11}, R_{21}, R_{31} \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)|$ and $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\alpha_{11} + 1)$. $\square$

Let us observe that (5.3) gives a different proof of (3.5) in the case of surfaces. Moreover, recall that for any $V \subseteq H^0(S, L)$, $\mathcal{D}(S, V) = \mathcal{D}(S, H^0(S, L)) \cap |V|$ (possibly set-theoretically). We know that $\mathrm{codeg}(\mathbb{P}^2, H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))) = 3$ and $\mathrm{codeg}(\mathbb{P}^2, H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r))) > 3$ for $r \geq 3$. Hence, in the previous discussion, either $\alpha_{11} = 1$, $(S, L) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$ and $V \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$ is as in (d.4.2) of (3.4) or special projections of the r-Veronese embedding of $\mathbb{P}^2$ have to be considered: $V = \langle x_0^r, x_1^r, x_2^r \rangle$ provides an example of codegree 3 for any r.

(5.4) Proposition. *Let* (S, L, V) *be as in* (0.0), $\dim(S) = 2$. *If* $\operatorname{codeg}(S, V) \leq 3$ *and* $\mathcal{J}_2 = \emptyset$ *then* S *is a ruled surface.*

Proof. Choose a general vector subspace $V' \subseteq V$ such that $\dim(V') = 3$. Then $\phi_{V'}(S) = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\operatorname{codeg}(S, V') \leq 3$. Moreover $\mathcal{J}_2(V') = \emptyset$ because, if not, $\mathcal{D}(X, V')$ has a linear component of maximal dimension and so $\mathcal{D}(X, V)$ has a linear component of maximal dimension contradicting $\mathcal{J}_2(V) = \emptyset$. Hence, by the usual expression of $c_2(J_1(L))$ in terms of the invariants of S, see for example [LPS1, A.1.1], and (3.2.7) we have:

$$c_2(J_1(L)) = e(S) + 2K_S L + 3L^2 \leq \operatorname{codeg}(S, V')(L^2 - 1), \tag{5.4.1}$$

where $e(S)$ is the topological Euler–Poincaré characteristic of S. In particular $e(S) + 2K_S L \leq -3$. Hence either $e(S) < 0$ or $2K_S L < 0$ and we are done either by Castelnuovo–De Franchis theorem or by Enriques theorem, see [B]. □

6 Codegree 2 surfaces

Now we deal with codegree two surfaces. Let us consider (S, L, V) as in (0.0) with $\dim(S) = 2$ and $\operatorname{codeg}(S, V) = 2$. By [LPS1, Thm. 2.8], $\dim(\mathcal{D}(S, V)) = N-1$ and by (5.3), $\mathcal{D}(S, V)$ has just one maximal dimensional irreducible component, say $\mathcal{D}^0$. Whence $\mathcal{J}_2 = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{D}^0$ is either an irreducible quadric cone, or a smooth quadric. We can exclude the first possibility: If $\phi_V(S)^\vee$ is a cone then $\phi_V(S)$ would be degenerate and so $\phi_V(S) = \mathbb{P}^2$. Whence $\mathcal{D}^0$ cannot be an irreducible quadric cone. To deal with the second possibility note that if $\mathcal{D}^0$ is a smooth quadric then, by biduality, $\mathcal{D}^{0\vee} \subset \phi_V(S)$. Hence, either $\phi_V(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a smooth quadric or $\phi_V(S) = \mathbb{P}^2$ and there is a smooth conic in $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$. We will need the following general fact.

(6.1) Lemma. *Let* (S, L, V) *be as in* (0.0) *with* $\dim(S) = 2$ *and* $N \geq 3$. *If there exists an* $(N-1)$*–dimensional component* $\mathcal{D}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ *which is a smooth quadric and any other irreducible components of* $\mathcal{D}$ *is linear then either* (S, L) *is a scroll or* $|\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_2)| \geq 2$.

Proof. By hypothesis $N \geq 3$ so $\phi_V(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a smooth quadric. Since the other components of $\mathcal{D}$ are linear, $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$ is a union of lines. Let us observe that if (S, L) is not a scroll then the ramification divisor $R \in |K_S + 2L|$ of ϕ_V is an ample and effective divisor, see [LP1, Thm. 2.5]. Consider $\ell_i \in \mathcal{T}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ a general line in the ruling $\mathcal{T}_i$ of $\phi_V(S)$. Then $C_i = \phi_V^{-1}(\ell_i)$ is a smooth curve. Let us observe that $C_1 + C_2 \in |V|$, $C_1^2 = C_2^2 = 0$ and $C_1 C_2 = L^2/2$. Since (S, L) is not a scroll note that for any irreducible component C of C_1 or C_2 the branch locus of $\phi_{V|_C} : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ can be neither empty nor a point (see (2.3)). Then for any component C of C_1 or C_2 the restriction $\phi_V|_C : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ branches in at least two points. This means that R has at least four components, say R_1, R_2, R_3 and R_4, such that $R_1 C_1 > 0$, $R_2 C_1 > 0$, $R_3 C_2 > 0$ and $R_4 C_2 > 0$. Since any component of R maps onto a line on $\phi_V(S)$ we have $R_i^2 \leq 0$, $R_1 R_2 = 0$ and $R_3 R_4 = 0$. Moreover $\phi_V(R_1) \neq \phi_V(R_2)$ and,

since R is ample, $RR_i > 0$. Hence there exist two components R_1' and R_2' of R such that $R_1R_1' > 0$ and $R_2R_2' > 0$. Now take $p \in R_1 \cap R_1'$ and respectively $q \in R_2 \cap R_2'$. We claim that $p, q \in \mathcal{J}_2$ and this proves the lemma because $\phi_V(p) \neq \phi_V(q)$. Since $p \in R_1 \subset \mathcal{J}_1$ then $|V - R_1| \subset |V - 2p|$ and equivalently $|V - R_1'| \subset |V - 2p|$. Then $|V - 2p|$ contains two different lines and so $|V - 2p| = |V - p|$, that is, $p \in \mathcal{J}_2$. The same argument can be applied to q. $\square$

Let us come back to the codegree two case. Suppose $\phi_V(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ to be a smooth quadric. By (6.1) (S, L) is a scroll over a smooth curve B and $L \equiv C_0 + bf$. We have $L_{|f} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ for any fibre f. In particular $\phi_V(f)$ is a line on $\phi_V(S)$ and all lines image by ϕ_V of fibres of the scroll are on the same ruling $\mathcal{T}_1$ of the quadric $\phi_V(S)$. Consider two general lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 on the other ruling $\mathcal{T}_2$ of $\phi_V(S)$. It holds that $\phi_V^{-1}(\ell_1) = C_1$ and $\phi_V^{-1}(\ell_2) = C_2$, with C_1 and C_2 smooth irreducible curves which are sections of the scroll. Moreover $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$. Thus, by [Ha, Ex. V.2.2], we have $S = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ where $\mathcal{E}$ splits as $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}$ with $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}\ (C)$ and $\deg(\mathcal{L}) \leq 0$. Consider ℓ a general line in the ruling $\mathcal{T}_1$. Since $\phi_V^{-1}(\ell_1 \cup \ell)$ is linearly equivalent to $\phi_V^{-1}(\ell_2 \cup \ell)$ then C_1 and C_2 are linearly equivalent. In particular this says that $S = B \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and we exactly are in case (b.1) of (1.1.1) with $n = 2$.

By what is said just before (6.1) it remains to consider the case when $\phi_V(S) = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$ contains a smooth conic C. In this situation $\mathcal{D}(S, V) = C^\vee \cup \mathcal{D}^1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{D}^s$ where, for $1 \leq i \leq s$, each $\mathcal{D}^i$ is a point in $|V|$ corresponding to a line in $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1)$.

Suppose for the moment that (S, L) is a scroll. Take a general $\ell_1 \in C^\vee$ corresponding to $D_1 \in \mathcal{D}(S, V)$. By (2.5.4) for any $x \in \text{Sing}(D_1)$ it holds that $D_1 = f_{\pi(x)} + R$ with R an effective divisor smooth at x. In particular $\mu_x(D_1) = 1$ and $c_2(J_1(L)) = L^2$ is an even number by (3.2.3). Then, by the same argument as in (2.5.4), we obtain $D_1 = M + f_1 + \cdots + f_{L^2/2}$. Since $L^2 = D_1^2$ then $M^2 = 0$. The same construction can be done for another general line $\ell_2 (\neq \ell_1) \in C^\vee$. In particular $D_2 = M' + f_1' + \cdots + f_{L^2/2}'$. Now $\phi_V(M) = \ell_1 \neq \ell_2 = \phi_V(M')$ and so $M \neq M'$. Since $MM' = 0$ and M, M' are irreducible, we have constructed a one dimensional family of pairwise disjoint sections. Moving the point ℓ on $C^\vee$ we get a rational parametrization of these sections M. So M is linearly equivalent to M' showing that we again obtain a product of a smooth curve cross $\mathbb{P}^1$ as in (b.1) of (1.1.1) with $n = 2$.

Suppose now that (S, L) is not a scroll. In this case (3.2.7) reads as $e(S) + 2K_SL + 3L^2 \leq 2L^2 - 2$, where $e(S)$ is the topological Euler–Poincaré characteristic of S. In particular this gives:

$$e(S) + 2K_SL \leq -L^2 - 2. \tag{6.2}$$

Since (S, L) is neither a scroll nor $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r))$ with $r = 1, 2$ then $(K_S + L)^2 \geq 0$ by [LP1, 2.1], or equivalently:

$$2K_SL \geq -K_S^2 - L^2. \tag{6.3}$$

Substituting (6.3) in (6.2) we have:

$$-L^2 - 2 \geq e(S) + 2K_SL \geq e(S) - K_S^2 - L^2. \tag{6.4}$$

In particular

$$e(S) - K_S^2 \leq -2. \tag{6.5}$$

By (2.5.4) S is ruled, then either $(S, L) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r))$, $r \geq 3$, or $S \neq \mathbb{P}^2$, $e(S) = 4(1-q) + s$ (s is a nonnegative integer), $K_S^2 = 8(1-q) - s$. By Bezout's theorem

(6.6) the sum of the Milnor numbers of the singularities of a plane curve of degree r with isolated singularities is less than or equal to $(r-1)^2$.

If $(S, L) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r))$ then by (6.6), (3.2.7) and (3.3.2) we get the contradiction $3(r-1)^2 = c_2(J_1(L)) \leq 2(r-1)^2$.

Let us observe the following general fact: take a general $D \in \mathcal{D}$ which corresponds to a line tangent to C at y. Then, using (3.2) and (3.2.6) we get:

(6.7) If $c_2(J_1(L)) = 2(L^2 - 1)$ then $\phi_V^{-1}(y) = \{x\} \subset \mathrm{Sing}(D)$ and $\mu_x(D) = L^2 - 1$.

(6.8) If $c_2(J_1(L)) = 2(L^2 - 2)$ then $\phi_V^{-1}(y) = \{x, x'\} \subset \mathrm{Sing}(D)$ and $\mu_x(D) + \mu_{x'}(D) = L^2 - 2$ (possibly $x = x'$ and $\mu_x(D) = L^2 - 2$).

If $(S, L) \neq (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r))$ then (6.4) gives

$$-L^2 - 2 \geq 4(1-q) + s + 2K_S L \geq 4(1-q) + s - K_S^2 - L^2 \geq -4(1-q) + s - L^2.$$

In particular $s + 2 \leq 4(1-q)$, that is, $q = 0$ and $s \leq 2$. Hence, by Noether formula, we have $K_S^2 = 8 - s$. Then either $q = 0$, $s = 2$, $K_S^2 = 6$, $e(S) = 6$, not compatible with (6.5); or $q = 0$, $s = 1$, $K_S^2 = 7$, $e(S) = 5$; or $q = 0$, $s = 0$, $K_S^2 = 8$, $e(S) = 4$.

If $q = 0$, $s = 1$, $K_S^2 = 7$, $e(S) = 5$ then equality holds in (6.4), hence in both (6.2) and (6.3). By [LP1,2.1] equality $(K_S + L)^2 = 0$ implies that either S is a Del Pezzo surface, $L \equiv -K_S$, $c_2(J_1(L)) = 12$, (excluded by (6.6) because we are dealing with plane cubic curves), or

(6.9) (S, L) is a rational conic bundle, more precisely, S is a blowing up at $s = 1$ point of a $\mathbb{P}^1$ bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ of invariant $e \geq 0$. Denote by E the exceptional divisor and C_0 and f, as an abuse of notation, the proper transforms of the corresponding C_0 and f on the $\mathbb{P}^1$ bundle. Hence $L = 2C_0 + bf - E$, $L|_f = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$, $c_2(J_1(L)) = 2(L^2 - 1)$ and $L^2 = 4(b - e) - 1$.

If $q = 0$, $s = 0$, $K_S^2 = 8$, $e(S) = 4$ then S is a rational $\mathbb{P}^1$–bundle of invariant $e \geq 0$ and (S, L) is not a scroll. Whence $L = aC_0 + bf$, $a \geq 2$ and $b > ae$. By (5.4.1) we have

$$4 + 2ae - 4a - 4b + 6ab - 3a^2 e \leq 4ab - 2a^2 e - 2, \tag{6.9.1}$$

or equivalently $b(2a-4) - a^2 e + 2ae - 4a \leq -6$. Since $a \geq 2$ and $b \geq ae + 1$ then $(ae+1)(2a-4) - a^2 e + 2ae - 4a \leq -6$. Then $a(e(a-2) - 2) \leq -2$ which gives that either $e = 0$, or $e = 1$, $a = 2, 3$ or $e \geq 2$ and $a = 2$. If $a = 2$ then we get (6.10) else we get the following. In the case $e = 0$ we can suppose $0 < a \leq b$ and the inequality (6.9.1) implies that $a = 3 = b$. If $e = 1$ and $a = 3$ then $c_2(J_1(L))$ is

odd, contradicting (3.2.3). If $e = 0$, $a = b = 3$ then the ramification divisor's class is $R = K_S + 3L = 7C_0 + 7f$ and $c_2(J_1(L)) = 2(L^2 - 1) = 34$. By (6.7), moving the singular point, there exists an effective divisor F on S such that $R - 17F \geq 0$. This clearly gives a contradiction.

(6.10) If $a = 2$ then S is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$, $L|_f = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$ for any fibre f of S, $c_2(J_1(L)) = 2(L^2 - 2)$ and $L = 2C_0 + bf$. We just need to face (6.9) and (6.10).

Let us observe in (6.10) that ampleness is equivalent to very ampleness, hence $\phi_V(S)$ is just the projection of $\phi_L(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^{h^0(S,L)-1}$ from a codimension three linear space T such that $T \cap \phi_L(S) = \emptyset$. A similar situation occurs in (6.9). We can blow down the exceptional divisor to obtain S'. Consider the line bundle $L' = 2C_0 + bf$ that is, in fact, very ample. Take $V' \subset H^0(S', L')$ defining a linear system with just one base point. Then ϕ_V is the morphism resolving the indeterminacy of the rational map defined by $|V'|$. Hence we are projecting $\phi_{L'}(S') \subset \mathbb{P}^{h^0(S',L')-1}$ from a codimension 3 linear space T meeting $\phi_{L'}(S')$ in one point.

First let us deal with the case (6.10). In this case $L^2 = 4(b-e)$ and $c_2(J_1(L)) = 2(L^2 - 2) > L^2$ since (S, L) is not a scroll, hence

$$L^2 = 4(b - e) \geq 8. \tag{6.10.1}$$

Consider a general $D \in |V|$. By Riemann–Hurwitz formula the ramification divisor R_D of $\phi_{V|D}$ verifies $\deg(R_D) = L(L + K_S) + 2L^2 = 10(b - e) - 4$. On the other hand there exist effective divisors $F_i = a_iC_0 + b_if > 0$ $(1 \leq i \leq s)$ such that $|V - 2F_i| = \mathcal{D}^i$ and $\phi_V(F_i) = \ell_i$. Then there exist integers $\alpha_i \geq 1$ and a divisor $G > 0$ such that the ramification divisor R of ϕ_V verifies $R = \alpha_1F_1 + \cdots + \alpha_sF_s + G$ and $\phi_V(G) = C$. Moreover, by (6.8), there exist two divisors $G_1 = A_1C_0 + B_1f > 0, G_2 = A_2C_0 + B_2f > 0$ (maybe equal) and two integers $z_1, z_2 \geq 0$, $z_1 + z_2 = L^2 - 2$ such that

$$R = \alpha_1F_1 + \cdots + \alpha_sF_s + z_1G_1 + z_2G_2. \tag{6.10.2}$$

Since any ramification point of $\phi_{V|D}$ is a ramification point of ϕ_V we get

$$10(b-e)-4 = \deg(R_D) = RL \leq \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_s + L^2 - 2 = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_s + 4(b-e) - 2,$$

which implies

$$\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_s \geq 6(b - e) - 2. \tag{6.10.3}$$

By the ramification formula $R = K_S + 3L = 4C_0 + (3b - 2 - e)f$ then:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1a_1 + \cdots + \alpha_sa_s + z_1A_1 + z_2A_2 &= 4 \\ \alpha_1b_1 + \cdots + \alpha_sb_s + z_1B_1 + z_2B_2 &= 3b - e - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the effectiveness of F_i and G_i implies that $a_i + b_i \geq 1$ and $A_i + B_i \geq 1$. Adding both equalities and using (6.10.3) we get $7b \leq 9e+6$. This is a contradiction because $b \geq 2e + 1$ by the ampleness of L.

If (6.9) holds we can argue in exactly the same way. In fact $L^2 = 4(b-e)-1$ and $c_2(J_1(L)) = 2(L^2-1)$ then the expression for the ramification divisor is

$$(6.10.4) \quad R = \alpha_1 F_1 + \cdots + \alpha_s F_s + z_1 G = K_S + 3L = 4C_0 + (3b-2-e)f - 2E$$

with $z_1 = L^2 - 1$, $F_i = a_i C_0 + b_i f + c_i E$ and $G = AC_0 + Bf + CE$. The formula now gives $\deg(R_D) = 10(b-e) - 6$. Whence the analogue of (6.10.3) is $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_s \geq 6(b-e) - 4$. Since $G > 0$ and $F_i > 0$ $(1 \leq i \leq s)$, $A+B+C \geq 1$ and $a_i + b_i + c_i \geq 1$. Then, by (6.10.4) and the previous inequality we get $7b \leq 9e + 6$, a contradiction.

Summing up the discussion in the codegree two case we have proved the following

(6.11) Theorem. *Let (X, L, V) be as in (0.0) with $\dim(X) = 2$ and $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) = 2$. Then $X = C \times \mathbb{P}^1$ for a smooth curve C, $|V_{|C}|$ is a g^1_d on C defining a degree d morphism $f : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$, and $L = F^* \mathcal{O}_Q(1)$ where $F = s \circ (f \times Id)$ is the composition of $f \times Id : C \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with the Segre embedding $s : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$.*

7 The codegree 3 case.

Let (S, L, V) be a triplet as in (0.0) such that $\dim(S) = 2$ and $\operatorname{codeg}(S, V) = 3$. Let $\mathcal{D}^0$ be the union of the $(N-1)$–dimensional irreducible components of $\mathcal{D}(S, V)$. We have already considered the case of $\mathcal{D}^0$ being the union of three distinct hyperplanes in (5.3). Hence one of the following holds:

(7.1) $\mathcal{D}^0 = Q \cup H$, where Q is a smooth quadric hypersurface and $H = \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$,

(7.2) $\mathcal{D}^0 = \phi_V(S)^\vee$,

(7.3) $\mathcal{D}^0 = Q \cup H$, where Q is an irreducible quadric cone and $H = \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$,

(7.4) $\mathcal{D}^0 = \phi_V(C)^\vee$ for $C \subset \mathcal{J}_1$ an irreducible curve.

Consider (7.1) Since $Q^\vee \subseteq \phi_V(S)$ then either $\phi_V(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a smooth quadric hypersurface or $\phi_V(S) = \mathbb{P}^2$ and Q is a smooth conic. If $\phi_V(S)$ is a smooth quadric hypersurface then, by (6.1), either (S, L) is a scroll, so that $\mathcal{J}_2 = \emptyset$, or $|\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_2)| \geq 2$. Hence this case does not occur. It remains to consider $\phi_V(S) = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1) = C \cup \ell_1 \cup \cdots \cup \ell_s$, where C is a smooth conic and ℓ_i is a line for $1 \leq i \leq s$. This case is effective as shown in (d.4.1) of (3.4).

Now consider (7.2). Since $\dim(\phi_V(S)^\vee) = N-1$, $\phi_V(S)$ cannot be a cone. By (5.1), either $(S, L) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$ (discussed in (d) of (3.4)) or $\deg(\phi_V(S)) \leq 3$ and (S, L) is a scroll.

When (S, L) is a scroll and $\deg(\phi_V(S)) = 3$ then $N = 3, 4$. Recall that $\phi_V(S)$ is not a cone. If $N = 4$ then $\phi_V(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is the rational normal scroll $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \subset \mathbb{P}^4$, [XXX], which has a (single) section that is a line, say C. If $N = 3$ then $\phi_V(S)$ is a (finite and birational) projection of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ from a point $p \in \mathbb{P}^4 \setminus \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$. In view of the classification of cubic ruled surfaces [E, Ch. I, §§37, 38, 48, 49] there are two types:

(7.2.1) p lies on the plane spanned by a smooth conic $Q \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. Then the image by the projection from p has two directrix lines C_1 and C_2 (lines meeting each line of the ruling), being C_1 the projection of C and C_2 the projection of Q (a double line).

(7.2.2) p lies on the plane plane spanned by a general fiber f and C. Then the image by the projection has just one directrix line C_1 that is the projection of C (or of f, so that a double line).

Let us consider first $N = 4$. Take a general point $p \in \phi_V(S)$ and a general hyperplane section H singular at p. Then H decomposes as the fibre through p, say f_p and a conic Q_p cutting f_p just at p. Since $c_2(J_1(L)) = L^2 = \text{codeg}(X, V)$ and $\deg(\phi_V(S)) = 3$ then, by (3.2.4), $\phi_V^*(H)$ is singular at exactly the $L^2/3$ points constituting $\phi_V^{-1}(p)$. Then, by (2.5.4), $\phi_V^*(H) = M + f_1 + \cdots + f_{L^2/3}$ where M is a smooth curve and f_i is a fibre of S such that $\phi_V(f_i) = f_p$. In particular $\phi_V^*(f_p) = f_1 + \cdots + f_{L^2/3}$. Take now H cut out by the hyperplane containing two general fibres f_q and f_p. Then $H = C + f_p + f_q$. By the previous arguments $\phi_V^*(H) = D + f_1 + \cdots + f_{L^2/3} + g_1 + \cdots + g_{L^2/3}$ where $D = \phi_V^*(C)$ is a curve such that $D^2 = -L^2/3$ and $\phi_V^*(f_q) = g_1 + \cdots + g_{L^2/3}$. Now $DM = D(D + g_1 + \cdots + g_{L^2/3}) = 0$. This implies that $\mathcal{E}$ is decomposable [Ha, Exercise 2.2 p. 383]. Assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is normalized, in the usual sense [Ha, p. 373]; then $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(B)$ is such that $e := -\deg \mathcal{L} \geq 0$ [Ha, Theorem 2.12 p. 376]. Let C_0 be, as usual, a tautological section. We can write $D \equiv C_0 + af$ for some integer a and $M \equiv C_0 + bf$, where $b = a + \frac{L^2}{3}$. Moreover, $0 = DM = -e + a + b$. As D is irreducible, we know from [Ha, Proposition 2.20 p. 382] that either $D = C_0$ or $a \geq e$. However, in the latter case we get $e = a + b = 2a + \frac{L^2}{3} \geq 2e + \frac{L^2}{3}$, giving $e + \frac{L^2}{3} \leq 0$, a contradiction. Therefore $D = C_0$, hence $a = 0$ and then $\frac{L^2}{3} = b = e$. In particular, $\phi_{V|D} : D \to B$ is an isomorphism and $L \equiv C_0 + 2\frac{L^2}{3} f$.

If $N = 3$, as said before, there exists a finite and birational morphism $\pi_p : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \to \phi_V(S)$ (the projection from p) which is the normalization morphism. Then, by the universal property of the normalization, $\phi_V : S \to \phi_V(S)$ factors through π_p. Now we can get the same conclusion as in the previous paragraph with the following warning. For the general line f_p on $\phi_V(S)$, we can consider $\phi_V^*(f_p) = \pi^*(f_p) = f_1 + \cdots + f_{L^2/3}$ and M is defined exactly as before. To construct D we can do the following. In (7.2.1) just consider a hyperplane section H containing C_1 and f_p, then there exists another line of the ruling, say f_q and $H = C_1 + f_p + f_q$ and proceed as before. In (7.2.1) take a hyperplane section containing C_1 and f_p. Then $\phi_V^*(H) = \pi^*(C + f + f_p)$ and proceed as before.

If $\deg(\phi_V(S)) = 2$ then either $\phi_V(S)$ is a quadric cone or $\phi_V(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a smooth quadric. If the former occurs then we get the contradiction that $\mathcal{D}^0$ is degenerate. The latter contradicts $\deg(\phi_V(S)^\vee) = 3$.

Next consider (7.3). If $\mathcal{D}^0$ contains an irreducible quadric cone Q then by (1.4) $Q^\vee \subset \phi_V(S)$. Hence $Q^\vee$ is a smooth plane conic. Moreover $\dim(\phi_V(S))^\vee < N - 1$, and so, by (5.2), $N = 3$ and $\phi_V(S)$ is a quadric cone.

Finally we deal with (7.4). Since $\dim(\phi_V(S)^\vee) < N-1$ and $\mathcal{J}_1 = \emptyset$ then, by (5.2), $\phi_V(S) = \mathbb{P}^2$.

Summing up the discussion on the codegree three case we get:

(7.5) Theorem. *Let (X, L, V) as in (0.0) with $\dim(X) = 2$ and $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) = 3$. Then, either*

(7.5.1) *$(X, L) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r))$, or*

(7.5.2) *$X = \mathbb{P}_B(\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1))) \xrightarrow{\pi} B$, where B is a smooth curve, $p : B \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is a surjective morphism and $L \equiv C_0 + \pi^* p^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2))$; $N = 3, 4$ and $\phi_V(X)$ is a cubic ruled surface, or*

(7.5.3) *$N = 3$, $\phi_V(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is an irreducible quadric cone, $\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_1) = C \cup \ell_1 \cup \cdots \cup \ell_s$ where C is a smooth plane conic and ℓ_i is a line for $1 \leq i \leq s$, or*

(7.5.4) *$\phi_V(X) = \mathbb{P}^2$ and there exists an irreducible curve $C \subseteq \mathcal{J}_1$ such that $\phi_V(C)^\vee$ is one of the maximal dimensional components of $\mathcal{D}$.*

In (3.4.d) we have studied (7.5.1) when $r = 2$; (3.3.d) with $n = 2$ also provides examples of (7.5.1) for any $r \geq 3$. Let us observe that the general hyperplane section of the Segre variety $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is the only example as in (7.5.2) in the classical setting, [Z2, p. 93]. We end this section with examples corresponding to (7.5.2), (7.5.3) and (7.5.4). Then all situations described in (7.2) are effective.

(7.6) Examples

(a) Let B be an elliptic curve, and let $p' : B \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the morphism defined by a line bundle of degree 2 on B. Let $p : S \to \mathbb{F}_1$ be the double cover branched along the fibres of $\mathbb{F}_1$ corresponding to the four branch points of p'. Then S is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ bundle over B. Moreover its invariant is 2. To see this denote by γ_0 the (-1)-section of $\mathbb{F}_1$ and note that $C_0 = p^{-1}(\gamma_0) = p^*\gamma_0$ is the section of minimal self-intersection on S. Set $L := p^*[\gamma_0 + 2\varphi]$, where φ is a fibre of $\mathbb{F}_1$, and $V := p^*H^0(\mathbb{F}_1, [\gamma_0 + 2\varphi])$. Let f be the general fibre of S. As $p^*\varphi$ consists of two fibres of S, we have $L \equiv C_0 + 4f$. Note that L is ample by [Ha, Proposition 2.20 p. 382]; moreover V spans L by construction, $L^2 = 6$ and $\phi_V|_{C_0} = p$. This gives an example of (7.5.2) with $g(B) > 0$.

(b) Let $\nu : \mathbb{F}_2 \to \Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the minimal desingularization of the quadric cone. Let C_0 and f be the minimal section and a fibre of $\mathbb{F}_2$. Note that $\nu^*(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma(1)) = [C_0 + 2f]$. Let $C \in |C_0 + 2f|$ be a smooth curve (the pull-back of a general hyperplane section γ of Γ). Then $\Delta := C_0 + C$ is a smooth divisor in the linear system $|2\mathcal{B}|$, where $\mathcal{B} = [C_0 + f]$. Thus there exists a smooth surface X and a morphism $\rho : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$ of degree 2 branched along Δ. Let $E := \rho^{-1}(C_0)$; thus $\rho^*(C_0) = 2E$, since C_0 is in Δ. Moreover, $4E^2 = (2E)^2 = (\rho^*C_0)^2 = 2C_0^2 = -4$. So E is a (-1)-curve inside X, and we can contract it, obtaining a smooth surface S. Let $\mu : X \to S$ be the contraction and set $x = \mu(E)$. Then we get a commutative

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & S \\ {\scriptstyle\rho}\big\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle\pi}\big\downarrow \\ \mathbb{F}_2 & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \Gamma\,, \end{array}$$

where $\pi : S \to \Gamma$ is the induced double cover. Note that π is branched along $\gamma = \nu(C)$ and at vertex v of Γ, and $v = \pi(x)$. Put $L := \pi^*\mathcal{O}_\Gamma(1)$ and $V = H^0(S, L)$. Then $\phi_V = \pi$. We have $\mathcal{J}_2(S, V) = \{x\}$, while $\mathcal{J}_1(S, V) \setminus \mathcal{J}_2(S, V) = \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$. It follows that $\mathcal{D}(S, V)$ consists of: $\mathcal{D}_0$, the dual of Γ, which is a smooth conic; $\mathcal{D}_1$, the dual of γ, which is a quadric cone (because γ is a plane curve) containing $\mathcal{D}_0$; and $\mathcal{D}_2$, the plane of $\mathbb{P}^{3\vee}$ parameterizing the planes through the vertex v. Therefore $\operatorname{codeg}(S, V) = \deg \mathcal{D}_1 + \deg \mathcal{D}_2 = 3$. This gives an example as in (7.5.3).

It deserves to explore the example above a little bit more, to recognize a situation early described in (3.4). Note that the ruling projection $\mathbb{F}_2 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ induces a fibration $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$, whose general fibre $F := \rho^*(f)$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$, being a double cover of f branched at $\Delta \cap f$. Hence X is rational, and so is S. By the ramification formula we have

$$K_X = \rho^*(K_{\mathbb{F}_2} + \mathcal{B}) = \rho^*(-2C_0 - 4f + C_0 + f) = -\rho^*(C_0 + 3f). \tag{7.6.1}$$

It thus follows that $K_X^2 = 2(C_0 + 3f)^2 = 8$, and so $K_S^2 = K_X^2 + 1 = 9$. Therefore $S = \mathbb{P}^2$. From the commutativity of the diagram above, recalling (7.6.1) and the fact that $K_X = \mu^* K_S + E$, we also see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(\pi^*\mathcal{O}_\Gamma(1)) = \rho^*(\nu^*\mathcal{O}_\Gamma(1)) = \rho^*(C_0 + 2f) = (2E + 2F) \\ = \frac{2}{3}(2E + 3F + E) = \frac{2}{3}(-K_X + E) = \frac{2}{3}\mu^*(-K_S). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_\Gamma(1) = \frac{2}{3}(-K_S) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$. This shows that $(S, L) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$, and we fall in case (d.2) of (3.4).

(c) In (7.5.4), as $N = 2$, either $\phi_V(C)$ is a smooth plane conic and $|\phi_V(\mathcal{J}_2)| = 1$ or $\phi_V(C)^\vee = \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{J}_2 = \emptyset$ and so S is a ruled surface by (5.4). Note that for a general codimension one subvector space $V' \subset V$ of any example as in (7.5.3) we get the former situation. On the other hand something else can be said thanks to Plücker formulas. If $\phi_V(C)$ has ordinary singularities then either

$\phi_V(C)$ is a sextic with nine cusps (and no other singularities) and $\phi_V(C)^\vee$ is a smooth plane cubic (this example is effective as shown in (d) of (2.6), and in (d.3.1) of (3.4)), or

$\phi_V(C)$ is a quartic with three cusps (and no other singularities) and $\phi_V(C)^\vee$ is a nodal cubic (this example is effective as shown in (d.3.2) of (3.4)), or

$\phi_V(C)$ and so $\phi_V(C)^\vee$ are cuspidal cubics. Let us put an example of this last situation. Take $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ and L the line bundle defining the Segre embedding in $\mathbb{P}^5$. Consider $V \subset H^0(X, L)$ defining a general base-point free linear system with

$\dim(|V|) = 3$. Since $X^\vee = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^{5\vee}$ we have that $X^\vee \cap |V| = C_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a twisted cubic. Call $TC_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ the tangent variety to $C_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, that is, the union of its tangent lines. Consider $H \in TC_0 \setminus C_0$. In particular $H \notin X^\vee$. Then $X \cap H = S \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is a smooth cubic scroll. Let us observe that the restriction of $|V|$ to S is a base-point free linear system of dimension 2. In fact one can suppose that $V = \langle s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3\rangle$, where s_0 defines H. Then, when restricting to S, $V|_S = \langle s_1|_S, s_2|_S, s_3|_S\rangle$. If there exists $x \in \mathrm{Bs}|V|_S|$ then $s_0, \ldots, s_3$ vanish at x, contradicting that $|V|$ is base-point free. It is classically well known that the projection π_H from H gives the identification $S^\vee = \mathcal{D}(S, L|_S) = \pi_H(X^\vee) = \pi_H(\mathcal{D}(X, L))$; then $\mathcal{D}(S, V|_S) = \pi_H(\mathcal{D}(X, L)) \cap |V|_S| = \pi_H(\mathcal{D}(X, L)) \cap |V|) = \pi_H(C_0)$ a cuspidal curve.

8 Final remarks

In this section we present some problems which we consider of interest.

(8.1) As pointed out after (5.1), in the classical setting it is possible to classify surfaces for which the difference

$$c_2(J_1(L)) - L^2 = \mathrm{class}(S) - \deg(S) \tag{8.1.1}$$

is small. In the ample and spanned case, triplets (S, L, V) for which the right hand term of (8.1.1) is less than or equal to zero are listed in [LPS1, Prop. A.1]. In line with this we have stated (5.1) where surfaces for which $\mathrm{codeg}(S, V) - \deg(\phi_V(S)) \leq 0$ are considered. In this context it has sense the following definition:

(8.1.2) Definition. *Let (X, L, V) be a triplet as in* (0.0)*. We say that (X, V) has* tame codegree *if* $\mathrm{codeg}(X, V) = c_n(J_1(L))$.

Pairs in examples (a) and (c) of (3.3) have tame codegree while for (b) (and $d \geq 2$) in (3.3) we have $\mathrm{codeg}(X, V) < c_n(J_1(L))$. In the classical setting, i. e., when ϕ_V gives an embedding, having tame codegree simply means that $\mathcal{D}(X, V)$ is a hypersurface, because in that case $c_n(J_1(L)) = \deg(\mathcal{D}(X, V))$. More generally, in the ample and spanned setting, haveing tame codegree means that the general element in $\mathcal{D}$ is singular in a single point and the singularity is just a non-degenerate quadratic singularity, see (3.2.4). Let us show another example.

(8.1.3) Let $S = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\pi} B$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is the rank-2 vector bundle over a smooth curve B of genus 1 of (2.6.d), defined by a non-split exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_B \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{O}_B(p) \to 0 \qquad (p \in B),$$

and $L = 2\xi$, where ξ is the tautological line bundle of $\mathcal{E}$. Clearly L is ample since ξ is so. Moreover, L is spanned as Reider's Theorem immediately shows. Note that $h^0(L) = h^0(S^2\mathcal{E}) = \deg(\mathcal{E}) = 3$. So $(S, L, V = H^0(S, L))$ is as in (0.0). Let us show that (S, V) has tame codegree. We can regard S as the twofold symmetric product of the base elliptic curve B. Hence L lifts to $B \times B$ via the natural double cover

$p : B \times B \to S$ as the line bundle $p_1^*\mathcal{O}_B(x+y) \otimes p_2^*\mathcal{O}_B(x+y)$, where $p_i : B \times B \to B$ is the projection onto the i-th factor, and $x, y \in B$. On the other hand, since B is an elliptic curve, for any two points $x, y \in B$ the linear series $|x+y|$ is a g^1_2. So our L is like that appearing in [BDL, Ex. 9], where the ramification locus of ϕ_V is described. In fact the branch locus of the 4–to–1 map $\phi_V : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is the union of a smooth conic and four of its tangent lines. For any $b \in B$ we will denote $f_b = \pi^*\mathcal{O}_B(b)$ and $\mathcal{O}_S(C_0) = \xi$. Note that $L^2 = 4$, hence $c_2(J_1(L)) = 8$. Observe that ϕ_V embeds f_p as a smooth plane conic, say γ, and gives a 2–to–1 map from C_0 onto a line. For any $t \in B$ note that $h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C_0+f_p-f_t)) = h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C_0+f_t-f_p)) = 1$. Then we can choose $\Gamma_t \in |C_0+f_p-f_t|$ and $\Gamma'_t \in |C_0+f_t-f_p|$ such that $\Gamma_t+\Gamma'_t \in |2C_0| = |L|$ and so $\phi_V(\Gamma_t) = \phi_V(\Gamma'_t)$. Moreover one can check by [BDL, Ex. 9] that $\phi_V(\Gamma_t+\Gamma'_t)$ meets γ in just one point. This gives $\gamma^\vee \subseteq \mathcal{D}(S, V)$. Moreover there exist $p_i \in B$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that $\mathcal{O}_B(2p_i) = \mathcal{O}_B(2p)$. Call $p_0 = p$. This produces four non–reduced elements $2\Gamma_{p_i} \in |2C_0|$. Hence the six lines $\langle 2\Gamma_{p_i}, 2\Gamma_{p_j}\rangle \subset |V|$ are contained in $\mathcal{D}(S, V)$. This gives $\operatorname{codeg}(X, V) \geq 8$ and in fact an equality by (3.2.2).

In the following paragraphs we classify surfaces as in (0.0) having tame codegree ≤ 8. The argument relies on some rough inequalities. In fact, a more careful analysis would permit to discuss also higher values. We confine to codegree ≤ 8 because 8 is the smallest value giving rise to the nice example discussed above.

So, let $n = 2$ and set $S = X$. Recall that $c_2(J_1(L)) - L^2 = e(S) + 4(g-1)$, where $e(S)$ is the topological Euler–Poincaré characteristic of S and $g = g(L)$. Suppose that (S, L) is neither $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(e))$, $e = 1, 2$, nor a scroll. Then $c_2(J_1(L)) - L^2 > 0$ [LPS1, Prop. A.1]. If S is not (birationally) ruled, then $e(S) \geq 0$ by the Castelnuovo–De Franchis theorem. Moreover, $g \geq 2$, with equality if and only if S is the $K3$ double plane [LP3, Thm. 3.1], in which case, however, $e(S) = 24$. Thus $e(S) + 4(g-1) \geq 8$ if S is non-ruled. In particular $c_2(J_1(L)) > 8$. Now suppose that S is ruled. Due to our assumptions on (S, L) we know that $g \geq 1$, and equality occurs if and only if S is a Del Pezzo surface and $L = -K_S$. For such surfaces we have $e(S) = 12 - L^2$ by Noether's formula. Hence $c_2(J_1(L)) = 12$. Assume that $g \geq 2$. If $S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, then $g \geq 3$ by Clebsch formula, hence $e(S)+4(g-1) \geq 3+8 = 11$. So, $c_2(J_1(L)) > 12$. On the other hand, if $S \ncong \mathbb{P}^2$, then there exists a birational morphism $\eta : S \to S_0$, where S_0 is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth curve of genus $q = h^1(\mathcal{O}_S)$. Thus $e(S) = e(S_0)+s = 4(1-q)+s$, where s is the number of blowing-ups η factors though. So we have $e(S)+4(g-1) = 4(1-q)+s+4(g-1) \geq 4(g-q)$. As (S, L) is not a scroll, we know that $K_S + L$ is nef, hence

$$0 \leq (K_S+L)^2 = K_S^2 + 2(K_S+L)L - L^2 \leq 8(1-q) + 4(g-1) - L^2 < 4(1+g-2q).$$

This says that $g \geq 2q$. All cases with $g \leq 1$ being already considered, we conclude that $g - q \geq 1$ equality occurring only for $g = 2$. Since L is ample and spanned, taking into account [LP3, Theorem 3.1] we see that $2 = g = q + 1$ only for the pair (S, L) in (8.1.3)

So, apart from the pair in (8.1.3) we have $g-q \geq 2$, and then $e(S)+4(g-1) \geq 8$. In particular, $c_2(J_1(L)) > 8$. The discussion above proves the following

(8.1.4) Proposition. *Let* (S, L, V) *be as in* (0.0)*, with* $\dim S = 2$ *and suppose that* (S, V) *has tame codegree* ≤ 8*. If* (S, L) *is neither* $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(e))$*,* $e = 1, 2$*, nor a scroll, then* $\operatorname{codeg}(S, V) = 8$ *and* (S, L, V) *is as in* (8.1.3)*.*

Another question is the following:

(8.2) In view of (0.4), for (X, L, V) as in (0.0) with $\dim(X) \geq 2$ we can define $R(X, V) = \{D \in |V| : \ D \text{ is reducible or non-reduced}\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X, V)$. The following conjecture is quite similar to [BDL, Conjecture 1]:

(8.2.1) Conjecture. *Let* (X, L, V) *be a triplet as in* (0.0) *such that* $\dim(X) \geq 2$*,* $N > n$*. Then* $R(X, V) = \mathcal{D}(X, V)$ *if and only if* (X, L) *is either* $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$ *or a scroll over a curve.*

In fact, in [BDL, Conjecture 1] the requirement on the discriminant locus is replaced with the following condition: for all $x \in X$, (i) $|V - 2x| \neq \emptyset$ and (ii) any $D \in |V - 2x|$ is reducible or non-reduced. Let us note that (i) is equivalent to $\dim(|V|) \geq n + 1$ and we cannot drop out this hypothesis, allowing $\phi_V(X) = \mathbb{P}^N$, as example (d) in (3.3) shows. A first evidence for this conjecture is that it is true in the classical case, [BDL, Prop. 7].

Finally we comment on the following problem.

(8.3) A relevant result in the classical setting is the so called Landman's parity theorem. The precise statement is as follows: for (X, L, V) as in (0.0) with ϕ_V an embedding the difference between the defect and the dimension of X is an even number. This result is not known to be true or false in the ample and spanned case. First proof of this theorem comes from Landman [L], [K, II (22)] and is essentially topological. In fact since the codimension of the discriminant is bigger than one one can construct a pencil in $|V|$ not cutting $\mathcal{D}$, i.e., all its elements are smooth. A consequence of the existence of these pencils is that the equalities of Betti numbers of sections of X provided by the Lefschetz theorem go further for positive defect varieties. This is also true in the ample and spanned case. Last part of the proof relies on the fact that the singular locus of a general element of $\mathcal{D}$ is very well known and provides a vanishing cycle and a monodromy relation giving the parity result. This last part cannot be applied to the ample and spanned case. Another proof of Landman's parity theorem can be found in [Ei]. In the classical setting, when the discriminant is not a hypersurface, the singular locus of a general element in $\mathcal{D}$ is a linear space $\mathcal{T}$ of dimension $k > 0$ and any of its points is a non-degenerate quadratic singularity. Then, the second fundamental form gives a symmetric isomorphism between the normal bundle $N_{\mathcal{T}/X}$ and the twist of its dual $N^{\vee}_{\mathcal{T}/X}(1)$. The symmetry of the isomorphism and basic considerations on normal bundles have several relevant consequences like parity theorem (among others). This puts in relation (8.3) with [LPS1, Conjecture 2.11], the conjecture stating that the the singular locus of a general element in $\mathcal{D}$ is a disjoint union of linear spaces $\mathcal{T}$ of dimension k; in particular X is swept out by these linear spaces (for $\mathcal{T}$ to be linear we mean isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^k$ and $\mathcal{T}L^{n-k} = 1$). Also [A, Prop. 2.5] shows

that [LPS1, Conjecture 2.11] implies parity theorem.

Acknowledgements. During the preparation of this paper the first author has been supported by the MIUR of the Italian Government in the framework of the PRIN "Geometry on Algebraic Varieties" (Cofin 2004) and by the University of Milano (FIRST 2003). The second author has been partially supported by the Project of the Spanish Government BFM2003-03971/MATE.

References

[A] P. Aluffi, *Singular schemes of hypersurfaces*, Duke Math. J. **80, 2** (1995).

[B] A. Beauville, *Surfaces Algébriques Complexes*, Astérisque **54** (1978).

[BS] M. C. Beltrametti and A. J. Sommese, *The Adjunction Theory of Complex Projective Varieties*, De Gruyter Expositions in Math., vol. 16, W. de Gruyter, 1995.

[BDL] G. M. Besana, S. Di Rocco, and A. Lanteri, *Peculiar loci of ample and spanned line bundles*, manuscripta math. **112** (2003), 197–219.

[DJP] T. De Jong, G. Pfister, *Local Analytic Geometry: basic theory and applications*, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden: Vieweg, 2000.

[E] W. L. Edge, *The theory of ruled surfaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1931.

[Ei] L. Ein, *Varieties with small dual varieties I*, Invent. Math. **86** (1986), 63–74.

[FP] G. Fischer and J. Piontkowski, *Ruled Varieties*, Advances Lectures in Math, Vieweg, 2001.

[G] D. Gallarati, *Una proprietà caratteristica delle rigate algebriche*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Lincei **(8) 21** (1956), 55-56.

[GH] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, Wiley and Sons, 1978.

[Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Matematics, vol. 52, Springer Verlag, 1977.

[K] S. L. Kleiman, *Tangency and duality*, Canadian Math. Soc. Conference Proc. **6** (1986), 163–225.

[L] A. Landman, *Picard-Lefschetz Theory and dual varieties*, Conference at Aarhus University (1976).

[LM1] A. Lanteri and R. Muñoz, *Varieties with small discriminant variety*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), 5565–5585.

[LM2] ———, *On the discriminant of spanned line bundles*, Projective varieties with unexpected properties. (C. Ciliberto, A. V. Geramita, R. M. Miró-Roig, K. Ranestad, Eds.), W. de Gruyter, 2005, pp. 337–348.

[LP1] A. Lanteri and M. Palleschi, *About the adjunction process for polarized algebraic surfaces*, J. reine angew. Math. **352** (1984), 15–23.

[LP2] ———, *Projective manifolds containing many rational curves*, Ind. Univ. Math. J. **36** (1987), 857–865.

[LP3] ———, *Adjunction properties of polarized surfaces via Reider's method*, Math. Scand. **65** (1989), 175–188.

[LPS1] A. Lanteri, M. Palleschi, and A. J. Sommese, *On the discriminant locus of an ample and spanned line bundle*, J. reine angew. Math. **477** (1996), 199–219.

[LPS2] ———, *Discriminant loci of varieties with smooth normalization*, Comm. Algebra **28**

(2000), 4179–4200.

[M] E. Marchionna, *Sopra una disuguaglianza tra i caratteri proiettivi di una superficie algebrica*, Boll. Un. Mat. It. (3) **10** (1955), 478–480.

[R] F. Russo, *Tangents and Secants of Algebraic Varieties*, 24 Coloquio Brasileiro de Matemática, IMPA, 2003.

[T] E. A. Tevelev, *Projective duality and homogeneous spaces*, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. 133, Springer Verlag, 2005.

[W] C. T. C. Wall, *Nets of Conics*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **81** (1977), 351–364.

[XXX] XXX, *Correspondence*, Amer. J. of Math. **79** (1957), 951–952.

[Z1] F. L. Zak, *Projective varieties of small codegree*, Geometry of Complex Projective Varieties (A. Lanteri, M. Palleschi, and D. C. Struppa, eds.), Proc. Cetraro, 1990, Mediterranean Press, 1992.

[Z2] F. L. Zak, *Tangents and Secants of Algebraic Varieties*, Math. Monographs, vol. 127, Amer. Math. Soc., 1993.

Dipartimento di Matematica "F. Enriques", Università, Via C. Saldini 50, I-20133 Milano, Italy

E-mail address: lanteri@mat.unimi.it

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Calle Tulipán, 28933- Móstoles, Madrid, Spain

E-mail address: roberto.munoz@urjc.es